SUMMIT

AFIRE

FALL 2021



SUMMIT

2022 AFIRE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

CHAIRMAN Karen Horstmann Head of Acquisitions Allianz Real Estate of America, Inc.

DEPUTY CHAIR Kai-van Lee Managing Director, Vanke US

CORPORATE SECRETARY Steve McCarthy Head of North America, AXA Real Estate Investmement Managers

MEMBERS AT LARGE Amy Price Managing Partner & Co-Head of US BentallGreenOak

CONTACT STAFF Mike Hu Head, US Capital Markets, **CEO AND PUBLISHER AFIRE** Gaw Capital Gunnar Branson

Michel Schram Director, Private Real Estate, PGGM

GENERAL COUNSEL Paul Meyer Partner, Real Estate Markets, Mayer Brown LLP

DIRECTOR OF PROGRAMS Will McIntosh Global Head of Research. USAA Real Estate Company

DIRECTOR OF MEMBERSHIP **Janice Stanton** Executive Managing Director, Cushman & Wakefield, Inc.

DIRECTOR OF LEGAL AND TAX R. Byron Carlock, Jr. US Real Estate Practice Leader, PwC

DIRECTOR OF MEMBER RETENTION Steve Collins Head, Capital Advisors, JLL

PRIOR YEAR CHAIRMAN Martin Brühl Managing Director & Chief Investment Officer, Union Investment Real Estate

AFIRE is the association for international real estate investors focused on commercial property in the United States.

ABOUT

Summit Journal is the official publication of AFIRE, the association for international real estate investors focused on commercial property in the United States.

Established in 1988 as an essential forum for real estate investment a recommendation to buy or thought leadership, AFIRE provides a forum for its senior sell any asset. executive, institutional investor, investment manager, and service provider members to help each other become Better Investors, Better Leaders, and Better Global Citizens through conversations, research, and analysis of real estate capital markets, cross-border issues, policy, economics, technology, and management. AFIRE has nearly 200 member organizations from 24 countries representing though the publisher is not approximately US\$3 trillion in assets under management.

Learn more at afire.org/summit

The publisher of Summit is not engaged in providing tax, accounting, or legal advice through this publication. No content published in Summit is to be construed as

Some information included in Summit has been obtained from third-party sources considered to be reliable, responsible for guaranteeing the accuracy of third-party information.

The opinions expressed in Summit are those of its respective contributors and sources and do not necessarily reflect those of the publisher.

Printed in Canada.

© 2021 AFIRE

Material may not be reproduced in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher.

ISSN 2689-6249 (Print) ISSN 2689-6257 (Online)

About the cover: A former storage warehouse at a once-massive manufacturing facility outside Chicago, Illinois sits vacant and awaits adaptation Photo by Benjamin van Loon.

gbranson@afire.org

C00 Lexie Miller, CAE lmiller@afire.org

> SENIOR COMMUNICATIONS DIRECTOR AND EDITOR-IN-CHIEF Benjamin van Loon bvanloon@afire.org

MEETING DIRECTOR Asmait Tewelde atewelde@afire.org

DIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS INTERN Lauren Richey

DESIGN AND PRODUCTION Campbell Symons Design campellsymons.com

1300 Pennsylvania Ave NW, #190-630 Washington, DC 20004 +1 202 312 1400 | info@afire.org www.afire.org



CHECKING THE PULSE

No matter your age or experience, 2021 has shaped up to be a year that no one can forget. Findings from the AFIRE 2021 Mid-Year Pulse Survey detail a cautious road ahead.

Gunnar Branson Benjamin van Loon AFIŔE

NOTE FROM THE EDITOR

REASSESSING **CLIMATE RISK**

The commercial real estate industry may not yet fully grasp the actual relationship between climate risk and asset pricing and value. But the knowledge is coming fast.

Jim Clayton York University

Steven Devaney Jorn Van de Wetering University of Reading

Sarah Sayce **Kingston University**

Matthew Ulterino UNEP EI

THE ALLURE OF SPECIALTY SECTORS

Real estate investments have historically coalesced around common property types—but it may make sense for investors to reconsider specialty property sectors in the post-COVID world.

David Wertheim Invesco Real Estate

NON-TRADITIONAL IS GOING MAINSTREAM

The mainstreaming of nontraditional property types is well on its way within institutional investing, which will materially broaden the real estate investment universe.

Indraneel Karlekar, PhD Principal Real Estate Investors

DIVERSIFYING INTO DIGITAL

As investors look for sustainable sources of inflation-protected yield, real estate investment is increasingly blurring into a wider range of "digital" real asset investment strategies.

Warren Wachsberger

Josh Katzin Corbett Kruse **AECOM** Capital

TAPPING INTO BIOTECH

Life sciences real estate has been a "hot" property type for the past decade—and even more since the pandemic. Will all the capital targeting the space be placed where it needs to go?

William Maher Ben Maslan

Cecilia Galliani **RCLCO Fund Advisors**



DOWNTOWN DISRUPTION

The pandemic-driven changes to downtown areas and central business districts is changing the geography of institutional investment. What else changes because of this?

Bruce Katz Drexel University

Frances Kern Mennone **FBT** Project Finance Advisors **Right2Win Cities**

50

VALUING NET-ZERO

With more tenants focusing on environmental targets, the burden to reduce direct emissions places increased pressure on investors, who are at a pivotal moment in ESG strategy.

Lori Mabardi Emily Chadwick Eric Enloe JLL

70

CHOOSING FLEXIBILITY Employees are increasingly demanding flexibility and choice for where (and when) they work. What strategies can landlords implement to adapt?

Tal Peri Union Investment Real Estate

HIGH-WATER MARKS

Interest and excellence in ESG performance is becoming increasingly critical to portfolio strategy. So with sea levels on the rise, how can portfolios stay above water?

Jerry Speltz **Barings Real Estate**



WHY DEBT, WHY NOW?

Debt funds remain a comparatively small part of the real estate investment market, but they have been gaining in prominence in recent years.

Karen Martinus Mark Fitzgerald, CFA, CAIA Will McIntosh, PhD, CRE USAA Real Estate

54

MIGRATION IN REAL TIME

As the public health situation started to improve in early 2021 and the economy reopened, did migration flows change too-and what if we are able to answer this in real time?

Michael Clawar

StratoDem Analytics

Gleb Nechayev

Berkshire Residential Investments

6

FAMILY OFFICES AND ESG

As sustainable investing continues to grow in popularity, family offices have taken note-and understanding ESG targets and regulations will be key for longterm performance.

Kate Pennartz Rebekah Singh Squire Patton Boggs

78

TALENT PARITY

To be better prepared for future risks, firms need diverse talent. So is the goal of 50% female representation achievable in global real estate investment and asset management firms?

Isabel Ruiz Halter Sheffield Haworth



PREDICTING THE **CLIMATE FUTURE**

We are all invested in the cities, assets, and infrastructure of tomorrow, even if we might not live to see the ten largest cities table in 2100. But understanding climate change can get us closer.

Rajeev Ranade Owen Woolcock Climate Core Capital

NOTE FROM THE EDITOR NOVEMBER 2021

One of the basic truisms of modern social psychology is that the ideas we hold as individuals do not originate from nothing; everything we believe comes from somewhere else.

> those we value in personal and professional contextscome from our immediate communities, whether we're aware of it or not. Today we know this concept better as "groupthink"—a concept originally coined in the 1950's or calculated optimism about by sociologist and urbanist William Whyte, author of The Organization Man, to explain how our shared desire for harmony in a group can often lead us to collectively reach ideological consensus, regardless of its rationality or irrationality.

> as a critique of mob mentality explore gateways into specialty on the internet, but that's only sectors, while Jerry Speltz of because social media allow us Barings Real Estate (p. 40); to observe the phenomenon in Lori Mabardi, Emily Chadwick, real time. Meanwhile, we like and Eric Enloe of JLL (p. 50; to think of our ideas about William Maher, Ben Maslan, business, corporate culture, and Cecilia Galliani of RCLCO organization management, (p. 34) explore the specifics of and investment philosophy as some of these sectors, and what sui generis; not subject to the areas investors need to watch. same irrationality that seems to dominate popular discourse.

But even the real estate Additionally, Tal Peri of Union industry—one powered by Investment (p. 70) explores leagues of smart people with new work habits, Isabel Ruizinnovative ideas-has its own Halter of Sheffield Haworth tendencies towards groupthink (p. 78) discusses talent parity (especially if the past twelve for business leaders, and the vears of Great Financial Crisis works of Jim Clavton et al. retrospectives have taught us (p. 14); Owen Woolcock and anything). The pandemic has Rajeev Ranade (p. 84); and therefore served as a gut check Frances Mennone and Bruce on the established orthodoxies Katz (p. 64) talk about the larger of our business. Conscious environmental and social issues investors and owners are taking that will continue to upend a deeper look at the emergent groupthink in the coming years. and intersectional fundamentals that will generate long-term • ome experts suggest value as the world gradually that some of the most emerges from convergent global Upervasive ideas—including crises into the "new normal," whatever that might be.

What we see in this newest issue of Summit Journal is less group think and more group problem (p. 6), this sort of thinking is solving. The authors here have undoubtedly warranted during eschewed standard predictions these uncertain times. the standard asset classesoffice, retail, residential, Benjamin van Loon and industrial-and outlining Editor-in-Chief, Summit Journal strategies for movement into alternative sectors. Warren Wachsberger, Josh Katzin, and Corbett Kruse of AECOM Capital (p. 30); Indraneel Karlekar of Principal Real Estate (p. 26); David Wertheim Groupthink is usually leveraged of Invesco Real Estate (p. 20) all

Taken together, the ideas discussed in this issue do not represent a new consensusnor should they. Value is not derived from consensus, but from ingenuity. And as we write in our summation of the AFIRE 2021 Mid-Year Pulse Survey

AFIRE



CONTRIBUTORS

AECOM CAPITAL (P. 30)

aecom.com

Warren Wachsberger CEO

Josh Katzin CIO

Corbett Kruse Associate

AFIRE (P. 6)

afire.org Gunnar Branson CEO and Publisher

Benjamin van Loon **Communications Director** and Editor-in-Chief

BARINGS REAL ESTATE (P. 40)

barings.com Jerry Speltz Head of US Real Estate, Engineering

BERKSHIRE RESIDENTIAL INVESTMENTS (P. 54)

berkshireresidentialinvestments.com Gleb Nechayev Senior Vice President, Head of Research

CLIMATE CORE CAPITAL (P. 84)

climatecorecapital.com Rajeev Ranade

Partner

Owen Woolcock Climate Core Capital

DREXEL UNIVERSITY (P. 64)

drexel.edu Bruce Katz Founding Director, Nowak Metro Finance Lab





Frances Kern Mennone Managing Director

frostbrowntodd.com

INVESCO REAL ESTATE (P. 20)

invesco.com David Wertheim Senior Director, Client Portfolio Manager

JLL (P. 50)

jll.com Lori Mabardi Senior Director, Research, ESG

Emily Chadwick Lead Risk Advisor, ESG



Managing Director

KINGSTON UNIVERSITY (P. 14)

kingston.ac.uk Sarah Sayce Professor, Henley Business School, University of Reading; Emeritus Professor

PRINCIPAL REAL ESTATE (P. 26)

principalglobal.com

Indraneel Karlekar, PhD Global Head, Research and Strategy





William Maher Director of Strategy & Research

Ben Maslan Managing Director

Cecilia Galliani Vice President

SHEFFIELD HAWORTH (P. 78)

sheffieldhaworth.com Isabel Ruiz Halter Director, Global Real Assets Practice



SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS (P. 60)

squirepattonboggs.com

Kate Pennartz Partner

Rebekah Singh Senior Associate

STRATODEM ANALYTICS (P. 54)

stratodem.com Michael Clawar Vice President, Data Science





unepfi.org Matthew Ulterino Responsible Property Investment Program Manager







































UNION INVESTMENT REAL ESTATE (P. 70)

realestate.union-investment.com Tal Peri Head of US East Coast and Latin America



reading.ac.uk Steven Devaney Associate Professor, Henley Business School

Jorn Van de Wetering Associate Professor, Henley Business School



USAA REAL ESTATE (P. 46)

usrealco.com Karen Martinus Senior Research Associate

Mark Fitzgerald, CFA, CAIA Executive Director of Research

Will McIntosh, PhD, CRE Global Head of Research

YORK UNIVERSITY (P. 14) yorku.ca

Jim Clayton Director, Brookfield Centre of Real Estate











CHECKING THE PULSE

SUMMIT



ISSUE 08

No matter your age or experience, 2021 has shaped up to be a year that no one can forget. Findings from the AFIRE 2021 Mid-Year Pulse Survey detail a cautious road ahead.

By Gunnar Branson CEO AFIRE

Benjamin van Loon **Communications Director** AFIRE

On one hand, the past year has been guided by a modern miracle, with effective vaccines developed and deployed faster than ever to combat the ongoing pandemic. But this year has also been complicated with new virus variants, uneven vaccination rates, closed borders, and bitter politics.

People continue to adapt in creative ways. When AFIRE members were surveyed in March 2021 for the association's Annual International Investor Survey, they expressed an overall sense of optimism thanks to the adaptations and the hope that the pandemic might soon pass.1

Historically, AFIRE has only conducted this survey annuallybut this year's survey research project was necessarily adapted to meet the needs of the "new normal," thus warranting a mid-year sentiment "pulse" survey, or follow-up to the March questionnaire.²

When this pulse survey was conducted in August 2021, the optimistic outlook explicated in Q1 2021 had evolved, reflecting a greater emphasis on risk aversion and more concern for critical issues across business, real estate fundamentals, and social and political trends.

INVESTOR CONCERNS

To compare changes in attitudes related to the general business climate, respondents were asked to rate their concern about seven key business factors. In March 2021, increasing tax rates ranked as a top concern (78% net concern), followed by interest rate fluctuation (73%), and inflation (63%).

While concerns about increasing tax rates have not meaningfully changed over the past several months (remaining at 78% net concern), and concerns about inflation have grown slightly (from 63% to 66%), cybersecurity has skyrocketed to the top of the list, ranking at 79% net concern, up from 60% earlier this year.

These concerns are interrelated. For example, concerns about economic conditions (e.g., interest rate fluctuations, inflation, and economic growth) have mostly subsided in recent months, perhaps reflecting the stabilization of pandemic-related uncertainties as the world becomes more organized in its fight against COVID-19.

Similarly, the subsidence of some of these uncertainties (e.g., shifts in consumer demand) has correspondingly heightened concerns in other related areas, especially around cybersecurity, which has become even more integral to consumer confidence through and beyond the pandemic.

EXHIBIT 1: HOW CONCERNED ARE YOU ABOUT THE FOLLOWING FACTORS ON YOUR US REAL ESTATE ACTIVITY?



Construction costs have grown to the area of greatest concern (86%). This trend could continue, especially in the face of ongoing supply chain challenges.

Investor concerns about real estate fundamentals across demographics, asset types, and regulation have declined over the past several months.

The most substantial declines have occurred around remote work/office demand (72% net concern, down from 83%) and changes in tenant demand (67%, down from 80%), reflecting market adaptation to prolonged pandemic conditions.

Concerns about migration out of cities has also seen significant decline (36% net concern, down from 53%), while concerns around rent collection, asset pricing, obsolescence, and/ or availability have remained notably stable. And even as most concerns have declined or stayed the same, construction costs have grown to the area of greatest concern (86%). This trend could continue, especially in the face of ongoing supply chain challenges.



In March 2021, public health issues topped the list of social **RISK MANAGEMENT** and political concerns for investors (79% net concern). COVID vaccines were beginning to roll out, and there was some collective In the face of evolving concerns This prioritization of risk optimism that the world was past the worst of the pandemic.

However, the Delta strain upended that optimism by mid-year, and markets battened down for a prolonged pandemic, as reflected by the increase to 91% net concern for pandemics-nearly universal.

Concerns around climate change, affordable housing, and economic and social inequity also saw slight increases in concern, though concerns about pathways towards progress in these areas (e.g., sustainability and racial equity) saw moderate declines. However, concerns related to political instability and extremism, globally and in the US, have increased over the past several months.

EXHIBIT 3: HOW CONCERNED ARE YOU ABOUT THE FOLLOWING FACTORS ON YOUR US REAL



across business, real estate, management has seen notable and socio-political conditions, changes in standard real estate risk management has become practices, such as due diligence increasingly critical, especially and lease negotiation processes, as our dominant areas of as well as appetites for adding risk-including those related retail and office assets to US to political, social, climate, and technological concernsalso threaten to be novel in their manifestation (e.g., large-scale climate events, have the greatest impact on US malicious ransomware, disease real estate over the next decade. strains, etc.)

portfolios (net decrease of 84% and 81%, respectively). Similarly, political and economic risks are forecasted to

Risk management has become increasingly critical, especially as our dominant areas of risk—including those related to political, social, climate, and technological concerns.

EXHIBIT 4: HOW HAVE YOUR PRACTICES OR APPETITES CHANGED RE: US REAL ESTATE OVER THE PAST TWO YEARS?



- The primary risks detailed in this survey focused on four key areas:
- (1) environmental risk (e.g., rising sea levels, weather events, etc.)
- (2) technological risk (e.g., phishing, malware, ransomware, etc.)
- (3) *political risk* (e.g., changing tax landscape, regulatory changes, etc.)
- (4) societal risk (e.g., civic unrest, labor issues, etc.)

Environmental risks rank the highest almost universally across all three areas, with 83% stating that these risks will affect potential investment value and nearly 60% forecasting climaterelated threats to their assets and operations. And consistent with sentiments expressed elsewhere, political issues pose similar ENVIRONMENTAL degrees of risk, with 87% finding value affected and 75% forecasting a threat to assets and operations.

Environmental risks rank the highest almost universally across all three areas, with 83% stating that these risks will affect potential investment value.

EXHIBIT 5: EXTENTS AND IMPACTS OF KEY RISKS

EXTENT OF RISKS AFFECTING INVESTMENTS

To what extent are these four areas of risk affecting potential value for US real estate investments?



RISK THREATS AFFECTING ORGANIZATIONS

In what way do these four areas of risk potentially threaten your organization?



THREAT TO PORTFOLIO ASSETS THREAT TO OPERATIONS

THREAT TO RELATED INFRASTRUCTURE

IMPACT OF RISKS ON US REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS

OVER NEXT 5-10 YEARS



85% of respondents anticipate an everaccelerating application of technology in the industry, as well as a greater demand for technology.

Because environmental risks These increased expenditures rank among the highest areas are an extension of how immaterial nature.

of concern for investors, respondents are forecasting respondents also signaled broader technological, cultural, an 78% net increase in risk and consumer changes over the management expenditures over next decade. For example, 85% the next decade. This is led by of respondents anticipate an a forecasted 86% increase in ever-accelerating application technology and cybersecurity- of technology in the industry, related spending over that same as well as a greater demand period. Alternately, anticipated for technology. And no matter extra spending for political and the nature of risk, most societal risks is more modest, respondents (79%) also expect perhaps reflecting their more higher insurance premiums in all areas.





FUTURE TRENDS

AFIRE's Future Committee is comprised of leaders within the association's global membership and focuses on trends that will help understand the future of real estate investing beyond the visible horizon. For this section of the survey, respondents rated "future factors" likely to have the most significant impact on their investments for the next decade.

Political issues and climate change will be top-of-mind for investors in over the next decade. The connection between workforce diversity and risk management (with 81% agreed) will be critical to future performance, as will the ongoing prioritization of allocations in non-gateway investment markets (74% agreed).

EXHIBIT 7: FUTURE TRENDS AND KEY FACTORS FOR THE NEXT DECADE OF COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE

FUTURE TRENDS IN REAL ESTATE

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?



KEY FACTORS FOR US REAL ESTATE OVER THE NEXT TEN YEARS

What changes will shape US investor risk policies over the next decade? (Number of respondent mentions by theme:)



Savvy investors are those able to find clarity amidst uncertainty.



In the various Venn diagrams that could be construed for many of the risk categories and future outlooks detailed in this survey, a four-dimensional model would be needed to fully articulate the interrelationship of the issues affecting long-term value commercial real estate within and beyond the US. For example, as detailed in *Exhibit 7*, respondents overwhelmingly agree that the US real estate workforce needs to become more diverse to address future risk—including simple reputational risk that could affect a company that lacks a diverse workforce.

But at the same time, the calculus for diverse talent development, recruitment, and retention is related to (and informed by) the same economic conditions, political attitudes, and technological trends that are otherwise fanning uncertainties at other tiers of the commercial real estate ecosystem.

Such complexities are inherent in real estate, and savvy investors are those able to find clarity amidst uncertainty. As such, this closein look at the subtle changes in investor attitudes over the past year ultimately provides an up-to-date snapshot of how the global institutional real estate investor community is thinking about the future: still optimistic, still focused, but even more careful—a shift that is certainly warranted during these challenging times.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Gunnar Branson is the CEO of AFIRE and the publisher of Summit Journal. Benjamin van Loon is Communications Director for AFIRE, and Editor-in-Chief of Summit Journal.

NOTES

The 2021 AFIRE International Investor Survey Report and Mid-Year Pulse Report are underwritten by Holland Partner Group.

¹ AFIRE. "2021 International Investor Survey Report." AFIRE (April 2021), https://www.afire.org/of-note/2021afiresurvey/

² Members of AFIRE represent nearly 190 organizations from 23 countries, with approximately US\$3 trillion in assets under management (AUM). The mid-year pulse survey collected insights from 76 executives representing unique organizations within and beyond the AFIRE membership. Regional participation in the data collection was broadly in line with AFIRE's overall membership profile, which includes institutional investors, fund and investment managers, family offices, publicly listed companies, and related services. More than half of the respondents to the August 2021 pulse survey also participated in the annual survey, conducted in March 2021.

REASSESSING **CLIMATE RISK**



The commercial real estate industry may not yet fully grasp the actual relationship between climate risk and asset pricing and value. But the knowledge is coming fast.

Many institutional real estate Exhibit 1 provides recent survey EXHIBIT 1: GLOBAL REAL ESTATE INVESTOR OPINIONS investors have significant evidence that illustrates industry exposure to cities and regions awareness and concern amongst that are economically important AFIRE members. Almost 80% but increasingly susceptible of responses to AFIRE's annual to climate change impacts. investor survey indicated that Climate change is becoming they are either "concerned" or one of the most important "very concerned" about climate structural forces and risks that risks. The existence of climate long-term investors need to risk does not necessarily mean proactively consider in building that investors should avoid or resilient portfolios. While withdraw from those places, climate events are not new, but a reassessment of risks, there is growing evidence that allocations, and potential the frequency, intensity, and mitigation actions is important geographic spread of climate to protect or limit impacts events have increased in recent on performance. decades and this dynamic coincides with the emergence of more chronic events including temperature and sea level rise.

By Jim Clayton

Director, Brookfield Centre of Real Estate and Infrastructure, Schulich School of Business York University

Steven Devanev Associate Professor, Henley Business School University of Reading

Sarah Sayce

Professor, Henley Business School, University of Reading; Emeritus Professor Kingston University

Matthew Ulterino Responsible Property Investment Program Manager UNĖP FI

Jorn Van de Wetering

Associate Professor, Henley Business School University of Reading



NOT VERY CONCERNED

VERY CONCERNED

Recent industry commentary and analysis reveals the challenges associated with incorporating complex risk considerations into valuation and investment processes and decisions. The Urban Land Institute (ULI) in conjunction with real estate investment management firm Heitman lays out many of the issues and explore current industry practice in surveys of industry participants.¹ These reports, consistent with the AFIRE survey, find significant investor awareness.

In going a step further to assess if awareness has led to action. the studies conclude that the industry is in the early stages of incorporating heightened climate risk into the investment and valuation process. Many investors are beginning to work with one or more of the growing rosters of forward-looking climate risk assessment firms to incorporate climate risk into investment and asset management decisions. However, connecting the perceived risk to valuation and pricing is more tenuous.

A major impediment to a rigorous forward-looking assessment of the financial impacts of climate risks on asset values is lack of knowledge and empirical evidence about how property markets have responded to past extreme weather events and how they are responding today to more chronic forces such as sea level rise.

To help fill the gap and investigate this, a team of researchers from University of Reading (UK) and York University (Canada) worked to collate and assess the existing empirical evidence for the extent and channels through which real estate values and prices have responded to recent extreme weather events.² If climate change risks are in fact already recognized by market participants, then their impact should be observable through pricing behavior at purchase/sale or in OpEx/CapEx decisions. They analyzed mainly recently published studies of pricing and investment behavior SHORT-TERM VERSUS LONG-TERM following extreme weather events for evidence of such impacts. The research revealed a fairly thin and inconclusive empirical evidence base and suggests that the industry has not yet come to grips with quantifying the relationship between physical climate risk and pricing and value.

Historically property markets have managed the damages and disruption from extreme weather.

Insurance, building design and location choices, codes and standards, government infrastructure investment, and governance capacity all contribute to resilience and can support asset values, and there is some evidence that that climate risk is partially capitalized in values. But even if this is the case, this level of risk absorption may be insufficient against the increased projected severity of acute and chronic climatic effects and likelihood of compounding physical and economic harm. It is imperative then to assess the extent to which markets are, or are not, appropriately pricing physical climate risk now and to understand more about the basis against which forward-looking modelling and analyses (services for which are widely available) are being made.³

ADJUSTMENT DYNAMICS

There is ample evidence that prices drop after acute climate events, but, generally, the drop is modest and short-lived. This has been shown in residential markets,⁴ and more recently in commercial markets.⁵ These studies and others assessed markets where major storms were more common. This could imply that the threat is realized and that the risks are already capitalized into property prices, but a short-term, myopic approach to investor/owner value and pricing cannot be ruled out.

Some recent research suggests a softening of this dynamic, although this is limited to analyses following Superstorm Sandy. There may even be a permanent post-event price discount which appears to apply to properties directly affected by Sandy, properties that were unaffected directly but within the storm affected area, and potentially coastal properties in other markets not directly affected by Sandy but exposed to similar events.⁶ This last instance may be a case of "belief updating" where risk information is becoming more available and better internalized within individuals and institutions and markets are adjusting accordingly.7

LIQUIDITY RISK CONSIDERATIONS

Immediately following climate events, acute market impacts may be assumed; that is, fewer listings and sales and/or lower prices for assets that do sell. Pricing tends to be a lagging or post-hoc indicator of how markets are absorbing physical climate risk so trading volumes or time on market may be better leading indicators. Prices, sale volumes, and velocity should be studied to fully capture the market's response.⁸ The availability and cost of lender financing and re-financing, as well as insurance, are likely key determinants of investor behavior and liquidity in areas historically subject to climate events, and importantly for areas generally unexposed in the past but subject to shifting patterns and conditions (including chronic factors such as sea level rise).

Research focused on Florida residential markets has looked at prices and volumes for areas exposed to sea level rise and has found that sale volumes declined in more exposed areas relative to less exposed areas even while prices held generally steady (at least until recently). The authors suggested this was driven by a change in buyer demand, as there was, at that time, no evidence for a shift in the practices or availability of insurers/insurance and lenders/credit.9

MORTGAGE LENDING AND SECURITIZATION

academic research on the that US residential lenders are impact of severe weather events becoming more aware of risks on real estate debt markets and that could ripple through to no published academic research default rates and that they that has focused on commercial are using this information mortgage markets. Yet credit for decisions on which rating and mortgage analytic loans to retain versus those firms all have significantly sold to government-sponsored increased their physical climate enterprises (e.g., Fannie Mae risk-related analyses of and and Freddie Mac) for focus on the mortgage sector, securitization. These findings especially in US mortgagebacked securities markets, and the municipal considered to be at risk from finance and infrastructure areas sea level rise.¹⁰ that could ultimately impact property pricing in higher risk locations.

There has been a lack of There is evidence, though, pertain to both post-storm (MBS) behavior as well as areas

ASSET LEVEL RISK MITIGATION

excesses higher are seen. There is little evidence there is anecdotal evidence that be needed. yet from the literature that this some owners and managers has been seen. And in fact, the are making 'defensive' capex US National Flood Insurance decisions to remain aligned Program (NFIP) may be creating with market expectations. This moral hazard and propping decision-making is complicated up prices¹¹—though proposed by the fact that many climate changes to the NFIP may offer risks may not yet be properly a case study once information reflected in CRE market values, accumulates as most policy so the benefits from mitigation holders are expected to see an expenditure might not be fully increase in rates.

recognized either. To date, insurers have not incentivized resilience expenditure through market influencing actions.¹³

Understanding how property values could be materially affected by the physical impacts of climate change is of paramount importance to investors.

IMPLICATIONS FOR ASSET VALUING AND MODELLING

Insurance clearly supports For commercial real estate, Understanding how property values could be materially affected investor returns and the ability insurance issues may influence by the physical impacts of climate change is of paramount to lend against assets. Obvious occupier behavior and thus importance to investors.¹⁴ However, the overall picture from the risks to both arise if insurance feed into owner cash flow published literature shows a growing but incomplete evidence becomes unobtainable, or even considerations.¹² Owners can base. Using geospatial data to highlight potential risk from asset if terms such as exclusions, improve resilience through exposure to acute and chronic climatic events is a meaningful and/or actions to 'harden' assets first step and one that many institutions have only just begun to significant changes to premiums against extreme weather and take. But clearly more nuanced and actionable information will

> To help conceptualize this, Exhibit 2 shows potential financial materiality of climate risk on commercial real estate assets. It demonstrates how climate change physical risks could feed through to income-property pricing in a discounted cash flow (DCF) appraisal framework. These risks could be incorporated in valuations through an impact on three primary components: (1) cash flow—leasing fundamentals (rent, rental growth, and vacancy) net of operating expenses and capital expenditures; (2) capitalization rate—affected by capital market conditions including the overall required return that embeds the required risk premium, plus expectations of cash flow prospects (including exit price) and liquidity; and (3) financing-the cost and premium discounts or other availability of funds from both equity partners and mortgage debt finance are directly related to return requirements and indirectly to property liquidity.

EXHIBIT 2: ANTICIPATED EFFECTS ON COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE ASSET PERFORMANCE OF INCREASED **EXPOSURE TO CLIMATE RISK**

Source: Clayton et al. 2021, developed with reference to de Wilde and Coley (2011)



have their own perspectives on government regulations for sharing, financial and valuation climate risk that may impact and investments in resilience modelling practices, asset and on pricing of their products, plausibly contributes to area resilience investment partly driven by their decision investor confidence, but how planning, and CRE focused timeframes. Investor hold periods may be 8-10 years, and prices is imprecise. secured lending agreements range from 3-7 years, while IPPC research makes clear base for decision-making on prices where physical granular data sets are required climate risks are identified or to discern factors that protect

Most studies to date have found to be increasing post- investment values and returns, analyzed prices, but not the acquisition. Similarly, it is but also to inform a debate channels through which prices unclear on how occupiers will about how to protect or manage are determined. There is also a respond to climate events and stock which lacks climate lack of clarity on how different risks; and advisors and valuers resilience. The UNEP FI market setters and actors may lack uniform knowledge, sponsored research¹⁵ on which evaluate climate risks and instruction in professional this article is based concludes influence investor calculations. standards on climate risk, with recommendations for and access to data which industry and academe to Providers of insurance and debt may impact value. Lastly, collaboratively engage on data much this affects values and research. Outputs from such

insurance premiums are priced that physical climate change and help refine valuation and annually. This creates cash flow is no longer a factor that any investment allocation practices and financing risks which may real estate investor can ignore. with emerging risk factors and later exert downward pressure Greater knowledge and more their inherent uncertainties.

activities can improve the information flow and evidence

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Jim Clayton is professor, the Timothy R. Price Chair the director of the Brookfield Centre of Real Estate Infrastructure at the Schulich School of Business, University, Toronto, ON Canada; Steven Devaney is asso professor and research division lead in real estate planning at the Henley Business School at the Unive of Reading, UK; Sarah Sayce is professor of sustain real estate at the Henley Business School, University Reading, UK and Emeritus Professor, Kingston University, UK; Matthew Ulterino is Responsible Property Investment program manager at UNEP FI; Jorn Van de Wetering is associate professor in sustainable real estate and director of studies for real estate & planning at the Henley Business School, University of Reading, UK.

IPPC research makes clear that physical climate change is no longer a factor that any real estate investor can ignore.

NOTES

and	
and	
York	
ciate	
and	2
ersity	
nable	
v of	-

- ULI, Heitman, Climate Risk and Real Estate Investment Decision-Making, Urban Land Institute, February 5, 2019, https://www.heitman.com/news/climate-risk-andreal-estate-investment-decision-making/; ULI, Heitman, Climate Risk and Real Estate: Emerging Practices for Market Assessment, Urban Land Institute, October 5, 2020, https://knowledge.uli.org/en/Reports/Research%20Reports/2020/Climate%20 Risk%20Markets
- 2 Jim Clayton, Steven Devaney, Sarah Sayce, and Jorn Van de Wetering, Climate Risk and Commercial Property Values: A Review and Analysis of the Literature, UN Environment Programme Finance Initiative, August 17, 2021, https://www.unepfi.org/publications/ investment-publications/climate-risk-and-commercial-property-values/
- 3 Paul Smith, The Climate Risk Landscape: Mapping Climate-related Financial Risk Assessment Methodologies, UN Environment Programme Finance Initiative, February 17, 2021, https://www.unepfi.org/publications/banking-publications/theclimate-risk-landscape/
- 4 Below, Scott, Eli Beracha, and Hilla Skiba. "The Impact of Hurricanes on the Selling Price of Coastal Residential Real Estate." Journal of Housing Research 26, no. 2 (2017): 157-78. https://www.jstor.org/stable/26393441; Norm G. Miller, Jeremy Gabe, and Michael Sklarz, "The Impact of Waterfront Location on Residential Home Values Considering Flood Risks," Journal of Sustainable Real Estate 11, no. 1 (2019): 84-107 https://doi.org/10.22300/1949-8276.11.1.84.
- 5 Jeffrey D. Fisher and Sara R. Rutledge, "The Impact of Hurricanes on the Value of Commercial Real Estate," Business Economics 56, no. 3 (March 2021): 129-145, https://doi.org/10.1057/s11369-021-00212-9.
- 6 Francesca Ortega and Süleyman Taspinar, "Rising Sea Levels and Sinking Property Values: Hurricane Sandy and New York's Housing Market," Journal of Urban Economics 106 (July 2018): 81-100, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jue.2018.06.005; Jawad M. Addoum, Piet M. A. Eichholtz, Eva Maria Steiner, and Erkan Yönder, "Climate Change and Commercial Real Estate: Evidence from Hurricane Sandy," MCRE, March 17 2021 http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3206257.
- 7 Asaf Bernstein, Matthew Gustafson, and Ryan Lewis, "Disaster on the Horizon: The Price Effect of Sea Level Rise," Journal of Financial Economics 134, no. 2 (November 2019): 253-272, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2019.03.013
- 8 Geoffrey K. Turnball, Velma Zahirovic-Herbert, and Chris Mothorpe, "Flooding and Liquidity on the Bayou: The Capitalization of Flood Risk into House Value and Ease-Of-Sale," Real Estate Economics 41, no. 1 (February 2013): 103-129, http://onlinelibrary. wiley.com/journal/10.1111/(ISSN)1540-6229.
- 9 Benjamin J. Keys and Philip Mulder, "Neglected No More: Housing Markets, Mortgage Lending, and Sea Level Rise," National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper Series (October 2020), http://www.doi.org/10.3386/w27930; Jesse M. Keenan and Jacob T. Bradt, "Underwaterwriting: From Theory to Empiricism in Regional Mortgage Markets in the US, Climatic Change 162 (June 2020): 2043-2067, https://doi. org/10.1007/s10584-020-02734-1.
- 10 Jesse M. Keenan and Jacob T. Bradt, "Underwaterwriting: From Theory to Empiricism n Regional Mortgage Markets in the US."; Amine Ouazad and Matthew E. Kahn, "Mortgage Finance and Climate Change: Securitization Dynamics in the Aftermath of Natural Disasters in the Aftermath of Natural Disasters," National Bureau of Economi Research Working Paper Series (September 2019), http://www.doi.org/10.3386/w26322
- 11 Norm G. Miller, Jeremy Gabe, and Michael Sklarz, "The Impact of Waterfront Location on Residential Home Values Considering Flood Risks
- 12 Gaye Pottinger and Anca Tanton, "Flooding and UK Commercial Property Investment: What is the Risk?" Qualitative Research in Financial Markets 6, no. 2 (July 2014): 211-226, https://doi.org/10.1108/QRFM-10-2012-0029; Abdullah Alzahrani, Halim Boussabaine, and Khalid Almarri, "Emerging Financial Risks from Climate Changes on Building Assets in the UK," Eaclities 36, no. 9 (August 2018): 460-475, https://doi.org/10.1108/F-05-2017-0054
- 13 Jessica Elizabeth Lamond, Namrata Bhattacharya-Mis, Faith Ka Shun Chan, Heidi Kreibach, Burrell Montz, David G. Proverbs, Sara Wilkinson, "Flood Risk Insurance, Mitigation and Commercial Property Valuation," Property Management 37, no. 4 (April 2019): 512-528, https://doi.org/10.1108/PM-11-2018-0058; Hannah Teicher, "Practices and Pitfalls of Competitive Resilience: Urban Adaptation as Real Estate Firms Turn Climate Risk to Competitive Advantage," Urban Climate 25 (September 2018): 9-21, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.uclim.2018.04.008
- 14 The terms "price" and "value" are being used rather loosely and somewhat interchangeably. However, it is important to distinguish between a valuation, a transaction price, and an assessment of investor worth. A valuation is the opinion by an expert as to a likely sales price, normally based on an analysis of past transactions; it is therefore essentially a backward-looking measure, although it should include a forward look based on an evidenced likelihood of future changes in market sentiments. Price, on the other hand, is what is achieved from sales in the market and, particularly in a residential context, may not have been influenced by professional valuations or advice unless borrowing was required. Finally, an investor's appraisal of worth is based on a forward projection of the likely income flows, capital appreciation and risks over a defined holding period. Clayton, Devaney, Sayce and Van der Wetering (2021) examine the role of valuations and valuation standards in an international context as they apply to climate risk considerations, as well as review the academic literature on the impact of climate risk on valuations
- 15 Jim Clayton, Steven Devaney, Sarah Sayce, and Jorn Van de Wetering, Climate Risk and Commercial Property Values: A Review and Analysis of the Literature

THE ALLURE OF **SPECIALTY SECTORS**

Real estate investments have historically coalesced around common property types but it may make sense for investors to reconsider specialty property sectors in the post-COVID world.

By David Wertheim Senior Director, Client Portfolio Manager Invesco Real Estate

4 6%

Real estate investments have In commercial real estate, historically coalesced around specialty includes data centers, four broadly defined property infrastructure, self-storage, life types: multifamily, industrial, science, and medical office, office, and retail. But in the among others. current environment, it may make sense for investors to reconsider specialty property sectors in real estate securities sectors for a more tailored portfolio approach in the post- In June 2020, they accounted COVID world.

definition of "specialty" early 2007. However, in many a variety of non-traditional constructs, they still play specialty sectors span single- well above US\$500 billion, manufactured housing, student mere US\$10 billion (Exhibit 1). housing, and senior housing.

The representation of specialty indices has grown tremendously. for roughly half the collective market capitalization, While there is no standard compared to less than 5% in property sectors, they include private market real estate residential and commercial only a minor role. Whereas properties. With respect to the total market capitalization residential real estate, these of specialist REITs is now family rental (SFR) homes, private vehicles account for a

EXHIBIT 1: SPECIALTY SECTORS COMPRISE HALF OF LISTED REAL ESTATE SPACE

Source: Invesco Real Estate using data from FTSE NAREIT and ODCE; as of June 2020. US REIT universe represented by FTSE Nareit All Equity REITs Index. US Private Markets Real Estate represented by ODCE.



Note: Traditional: Apartment, industrial, office, retail, lodging, diversified. Specialty: Healthcare, self-storage, manufactured homes, single-family rentals, data centers, timber, infrastructure, and other specialty.

The most desirable features for tenants often relate to technology ecosystems, power availability and cost, fiber connectivity, and protection from natural disasters.

Since early 2007, cash flows in specialty sectors outpaced traditional sectors and, not surprisingly, their annualized returns were more than twice as high (Exhibit 2). This robust growth has been spurred by changes in demographics, education, preferences for renting rather than owning, and even downsizing trends. Additionally, several specialty sectors have enjoyed strong tailwinds from technological and medical advancements (Exhibit 2).

EXHIBIT 2: SPECIALTY SECTORS HAVE OUTPERFORMED TRADITIONAL REAL ESTATE SECTORS OVER TIME

Source: Invesco Real Estate using data from NAREIT; as of September 2020. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results

Note: Traditional: Apartment, industrial, office, retail, lodging, diversified. Specialty: Healthcare, self-storage, manufactured homes, single-family rentals, data centers, timber, infrastructure, and other specialty



DATA CENTERS

technological including how increasingly consuming data. critical for data processing and storage, as well as cloud provide sophisticated amenities, such as backup generators and industrial air conditioners, to keep computer equipment cool,

as well as optical connections for linking business partners and service providers.

relatively simple to build, the complexity of the interior expansion of cloud computing, infrastructure requires high upfront capital expenditures data, and the inexorable and an equally high level growth of the digital economy. of operating constituting significant barriers has so far been minimal on to entry. Not surprisingly, data centers, which are lease terms are often 5-15 relatively unaffected by social years, and data center REITs distancing and were among the typically enjoy high customer best performing REIT sectors complexity and cost of moving. the global datasphere Tenants often form network expected to triple from 2020 ecosystems through colocation through 2025, and demand (in part to achieve lower from both consumers and value of a data center as more vears to come. tenants choose that location for their operations.

Data centers typify a specialty Data center characteristics sector that benefits from mean that server racks and changes, digital content are owned and society is managed by tenants, while the physical warehouse is owned At the basic level, data centers by the data center. The most are secured warehouses desirable features for tenants containing racks that house often relate to technology network equipment and servers ecosystems, power availability and cost, fiber connectivity, and protection from natural computing. These facilities disasters. Furthermore, the lease characteristics are based on the usage of power.

The fundamentals appear robust based on the rapidly increasing data needs of tenants spanning the technology, financial services, and communications While data center shells are industries. The secular growth story is buttressed by the rapid increasing demand for mobile expertise, The impact of the pandemic The annual size of the global datasphere is expected to triple from 2020 through 2025.

INFRASTRUCTURE

As another technology-driven and higher-growth specialty sector, infrastructure includes assets such as cell phone towers and small cell nodes. The largest tower companies are structured as REITs and play a crucial role in enabling wireless communications. Cell towers are the physical foundation of nearly all wireless connectivity. Tower companies own the vertical real estateusually a tower or pole, often with a land parcel underneathand the fiber cable underground. Wireless carriers, broadband providers, cable companies, and government agencies lease space on towers to mount equipment, such as cell transmitters. By leasing space on thousands of towers domestically and globally, wireless carriers have built communications networks to handle the ever-increasing volume of mobile data traffic.

retention rates due to the in 2020. The annual size of Infrastructure companies have benefitted from rapid growth in is mobile data consumption as well as increased traffic loads in the burgeoning work-from-home environment. The lease terms for these assets are often 5-15 years, and infrastructure providers typically enjoy high customer retention rates due to the complexity latency and higher speeds), enterprises should support the and cost of moving, as well as the lack of viable alternatives which tends to increase the data center business model for in the oligopolistic US market. Furthermore, infrastructure should be a prime beneficiary of the coming wave of 5G wireless connectivity. Initial 5G smartphones are expected to consume 270x data than 2G-era phones, and roughly 3x the data of current phone models.1

> In our view, 5G will help expand the industrial and enterprise use cases for mobile connectivity by enabling a volume of simultaneous connections, data speeds, and ubiquity of coverage that were not previously available. New use cases could include self-driving vehicles, remote health care, smart manufacturing, smart cities, drones-as-a-service, and virtual reality, among others. Simply put, tower and data center REITs are uniquely positioned to benefit from the initial multi-year infrastructure buildout for 5G, and later from the potential step change increase in data transmission that will result from widescale deployments.

SINGLE-FAMILY RENTALS

The residential sector is one SFR has also benefitted of the four traditional pillars from changing views among of commercial real estate and millennials and Gen Xers, as encompasses several property fewer of them own a home, want types: apartments, SFR, to own a home, or even live on manufactured housing, and their own, compared to prior age student housing. The overall cohorts.⁴ In fact, they value the sector has performed well over optionality provided by renting, the past several years-right along with the concomitant up to the market downturn increase in mobility. Simply put, in February 2020. However, the SFR market bridges the gap residential has been structurally between apartment living and undersupplied since the GFC. Additionally, extremely low unemployment rates in the US and other high residential occupancy APARTMENT RENTAL rates. Strong growth in the Source: Invesco Real Estate; as of September 2020 young adult age cohort was also a tailwind, as this demographic has a higher propensity to rent.

SFR homes are a specialty subsector of residential and are growing substantially faster than apartments due to their differing characteristics (Exhibit 3). The pandemic has accelerated this trend, as many renters now seek a lowerdensity living environment in a more suburban setting. SFR totals about 15 million units. similar in size to the traditional apartment market, yet SFRs have one of the most attractive multi-year demand profiles footprints has been solid, homeownership has been stuck demographic tailwind should accelerate in the next several years as apartment renters age this regard, as millennials reach adult milestones, they typically seek more living space.

worldwide home ownership.

SFR totals about 15 million units, similar in size to the traditional apartment market, yet SFRs have one of the most attractive multiyear demand profiles.

countries have helped support EXHIBIT 3: SINGLE-FAMILY RENTAL VS. TRADITIONAL

ISSUE 08

	SINGLE FAMILY RENTAL	APARTMENT
TYPICAL SIZE	1,600-2,000 SF	600-1,000 SF
TYPICAL LAYOUT	3–4 beds, 2–bath, master-suite, private yard, 2–car garage	1–2 bed, 1–2 bath, community pool, gym, surface parking
TYPICAL RENT	\$1,300-\$1,700	\$1,000-\$1,400
TYPICAL STAY	3–4 years	1–2 years
TYPICAL TENANT	Families and couples	Singles and couples
TYPICAL RENTER AGE	35–54 years	Under 35 years
TYPICAL LOCATION	Suburban	Urban/suburban

in US real estate, according Demand for SFR has been strong, in part because of increased to industry analysts.² Job demand for lower-density environments in suburban settings. growth across Sun Belt-focused Additionally, extreme financial dislocation for many consumers. combined with stress in the banking sector, has likely reduced the number of renters who can afford the move to home in neutral, and this segment's ownership. This, in turn, could lead to higher retention rates for residential landlords.

Finally, a residence is need-to-have real estate, which should toward prime SFR years.³ In warrant priority in a consumer's payment stack. Not surprisingly, the drop in occupancy rates for the residential sector has typically been lower than the drop for many other property sectors during prior economic downturns.

HEALTHCARE AND LIFE SCIENCE

Healthcare is one of the largest drugs.⁷ Lease terms are often segments of the US economy, 8-10 years, and renewal rates comprising approximately 18% are typically high because of the of GDP. The sector continues complexity and cost required to grow faster than the overall to build out sophisticated lab economy on the back of a rapidly aging population.⁵ There are multiple segments of expected to grow as a result of the health care sector, but just the heightened global focus on two of them account for almost new drug development. 75% of the listed health care REIT space: senior housing and medical office. 6

However, there is another with an impressive track record. specialty subsector in health Some real estate investment care: life science (i.e., lab space), players are focused on biotech to perform well based on San Francisco, and San Diego, strong fundamentals that while others are located on remain essentially untouched university campuses or near by COVID-19. Life science major medical facilities. In accounts for roughly 10% of terms of asset value, life science offices for biotech and to industry analysts, with values pharmaceutical tenants, who virtually unchanged compared use the space to develop new to pre-pandemic levels.⁸

space. Demand for this kind of specialized real estate is only

Historically a niche sector, life science has rapidly gone mainstream in recent years,

In terms of asset value, life science has fared the best in the health care sector this year.



which we believe is positioned cluster markets like Boston, THE BRIGHT SPOTS OF TOMORROW?

Even with current dislocations in the property market, certain specialty sectors could continue to benefit from several potentially sustained tailwinds while facing limited impact from the pandemic.

US health care REIT assets and has fared the best in the health Despite the many uncertainties surrounding the economy and consists primarily of specialized care sector this year, according capital markets, REITs operating in these sectors are poised to benefit from robust demand in relatively supply-constrained markets. This can translate into above-average revenue, cashflow, and earnings growth, which is why these specialty sectors present potentially attractive opportunities for investors.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

David Wertheim is Senior Director, Client Portfolio Manager for Invesco Real Estate, one of the world's largest real estate managers.

NOTES

- ¹ Heidi Vella, "5G vs. 4G: What is the Difference?" Raconteur, Last modified May 16, 2019. https://heidivella.com/2019/05/16/article-raconteur-5g-vs-4g-whatis-the-difference/
- ² Green Street, s.v. "Residential Sector Update," accessed March 3, 2020. https://www.greenstreet.com/
- ³ Green Street, s.v. "Residential Sector Update"
- ⁴ John Burns Real Estate Consulting, LLC, s.v. "Burns Single-Family Rental Analysis and Forecast," accessed October 2019, https://www.realestateconsulting.com/our-company/ research/single-family-rental-analysis-and-forecast/
- ⁵ Green Street, s.v. "Health Care Sector Update," accessed December 4, 2020.
- 6 Green Street, s.v. "Health Care Sector Update"
- 7 Green Street, s.v. "Health Care Sector Update"
- 8 Green Street, s.v. "Health Care Sector Update"

NON-TRADITIONAL IS GOING MAINSTREAM



By Indraneel Karlekar, PhD Global Head, Research and Strategy Principal Real Estate Investors

The mainstreaming of non-traditional property types is well on its way within institutional investing, which will materially broaden the real estate investment universe.

Historically, institutional real estate investors have allocated **PRIVATE REAL ESTATE INVESTORS ARE RAPIDLY** capital to five property types in the US—office, retail, multifamily, industrial, and hotel. But, in recent years, several niche and emerging property types have seen a significant increase in investor interest and capital allocated, in both the public and private real estate quadrants. According to Real Capital Analytics, as of year-end 2020, these non-traditional property types accounted for approximately 24% of all transaction volume in the US and approximately 56% of total REIT market capitalization.

The listed REIT market has spearheaded the push into nontraditional property types (Exhibit 1), greatly expanding the investment universe and improving the diversification outcome for investors. Many of the largest REIT companies by market capitalization belong to the non-traditional property type category. Their stable and steady cash flows could augment the growing case for inclusion in a real estate portfolio.

EXHIBIT 1: REITS SPEARHEAD GROWTH OF NON-TRADITIONAL PROPERTY TYPES

Source: FactSet, NCREIF, MSCI, NCREIF ODCE Index, MSCI US REIT Index; as of 31 December 2020



Note: Traditional core consists of apartment, retail, office, industrial, and diversified (public market only). Non-traditional consists of specialized residential (public market only), self-storage, hotels and resorts, healthcare, net lease (public market only), data centers (reported within industrial in private market), and other. Due to rounding, figures and percentages shown may not add to the totals or equal 100%. May not reflect current allocation

EMBRACING NON-TRADITIONAL PROPERTIES

The past couple of years have seen a significant uptick in investment into non-traditional property types by private real estate investors. Currently non-traditional property types sit at 5-6% within the National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries (NCREIF) ODCE Index. One expectation is that, on a conservative basis, nontraditional property types could comprise 15% of the ODCE Index by 2030, nearly tripling from current levels. This increase would still trail the experience of listed real estate and be quite comparable, for example, to the growth in the industrial sector in the ODCE Index, which increased from approximately 14% in 2010 to around 20% in 2020 (Exhibit 2).

EXHIBIT 2: FORECASTED INCREASE IN NON-TRADITIONAL PROPERTY TYPES IN THE NCREIF ODCE INDEX

Source: NCREIF, Principal Real Estate Investors, April 2021



ISSUE 08

THE GROWTH OF NON-TRADITIONAL PROPERTIES REPRESENTS A SECULAR SHIFT IN DEMAND DRIVERS

economy wherein "DIGITAL" drivers of growth and demand-Demographics, Infrastructure, Globalization, Innovation, and Technology-have become more dominant in recent years.

The pandemic further accelerated these structural changes, in some cases rapidly upturning how consumers and businesses behave and occupy space. These drivers have a clear impact on the growth of both traditional and nontraditional property typesdirectly and indirectly—given their sheer scale. But diving a little deeper, there are specific sub-themes within the broader DIGITAL framework that tie in to the rise of non-traditional property types and their increasing importance, briefly spotlighted below.

- Demographics: senior living, medical offices and lab spaces, single-family rentals, manufactured housing, self-storage, affordable housing
- Infrastructure: data centers, logistics, warehouses
- Globalization: logistics, warehouses, last mile distribution, cold storage
- Innovation and Technology: life sciences, lab spaces, medical office buildings

EXHIBIT 3: NON-TRADITIONAL PROPERTY TYPES HAVE DELIVERED SOME OF THE STRONGEST ABSOLUTE RETURNS

Source: NAREIT, Principal Real Estate; as of May 2021

	TR	ADITIO	NAL PR	OPERTIES		I	NON-TR	ADITIONAL PI	ROPERTIE	ES
	APARTMENT	OFFICE	RETAIL	INDUSTRIAL		SELF STORAGE	HEALTH CARE	MANUFACTURED HOMES	DATA CENTERS	INFRAST- RUCTURE
TOTAL RETURNS										
3-YEAR	4.6%	-2.0%	-2.9%	18.8%	-4.6%	9.5%	4.3%	18.6%	16.1%	22.1%
5-YEAR	5.7%	2.7%	-3.8%	20.7%	2.7%	4.6%	5.3%	16.8%	15.8%	19.8%
10-YEAR	9.1%	4.9%	5.1%	15.1%	4.1%	15.2%	7.0%	19.3%	NA	NA
15-YEAR	8.1%	3.4%	2.6%	5.6%	1.5%	12.7%	9.1%	14.3%	NA	NA
20-YEAR	10.9%	6.9%	8.9%	10.1%	4.5%	16.5%	12.3%	13.2%	NA	NA
STANDARD DEVIATION										
3-YEAR	20.9%	16.8%	31.7%	12.7%	36.3%	13.7%	26.8%	16.8%	16.0%	12.2%
5-YEAR	16.6%	15.0%	27.1%	12.8%	30.2%	17.6%	21.8%	14.5%	14.3%	11.2%
10-YEAR	16.3%	13.4%	22.9%	14.8%	25.7%	16.5%	18.5%	13.4%	NA	NA
15-YEAR	26.7%	25.9%	30.8%	28.6%	42.6%	21.4%	21.8%	19.1%	NA	NA
20-YEAR	24.2%	23.7%	29.1%	25.6%	39.3%	21.1%	24.4%	18.2%	NA	NA

LISTED REITS SHOW NON-TRADITIONAL PROPERTIES CAN BENEFIT TRADITIONAL REAL ESTATE PORTFOLIOS

The rapid and significant adoption of non-traditional property Investors need to understand the benefits to portfolio diversification types in both the listed and private real estate universe is not that non-traditional property types may bring to an existing real just a cyclical rotation; it may reflect a structural shift within the estate allocation. The early and rapid adoption of non-traditional property types in listed REITs provides a robust data set of performance metrics and indicates that non-traditional property types have delivered some of the strongest absolute returns over a twenty-year history (Exhibit 3). Self-storage, healthcare, and manufactured homes are the property types that stand out for their strong absolute performance over nearly all time periods.

> Self-storage, healthcare, and manufactured homes are the property types that stand out for their strong absolute performance over nearly all time periods.

Correlation analysis further demonstrates the diversification INVESTORS WILL BE WINNERS AS NON-TRADITIONAL benefit of adding non-traditional property types to a portfolio. While intuitively it would seem that return correlations between traditional and alternative property types would vary, the data does indeed confirm it (Exhibit 4).

In our view, the mainstreaming The shift and expansion of non-traditional property of the universe should also types is well on its way within allow investors to generate Despite the growth and maturation of non-traditional property institutional investing with additional alpha by making types, correlations have staved low, indicating the variability of several positive implications. conscious investment decisions business and operating models that are brought into a portfolio Non-traditional property types on their strategies pertaining with purely traditional property types. In fact, it is the operating will materially broaden the to alternative property types. model of many of these underlying companies that helps bring in real estate investment universe Ultimately, we believe the added diversification to a more traditional portfolio comprised of and in turn attract a wider growth and assimilation of income-oriented real estate. array of investors.

Mirroring a similar analysis in the private real estate quadrant is hampered by lack of data but we can infer a certain degree of similarity between the performance of listed and unlisted real estate given the underlying operating and business models are the same. Data centers, self-storage facilities, or SFRs, for example, operate under similar models whether held in listed or private ownership. Most importantly, REIT historical data demonstrates that allocation towards non-traditional property types addresses two key investor requirements: performance and diversification, both of which ultimately benefit portfolio construction.

EXHIBIT 4: NON-TRADITIONAL PROPERTY TYPES ARE PORTFOLIO DIVERSIFIERS

Source: NAREIT, Principal Real Estate; as of May 2021

15-YEAR Correlations	APARTMENT	OFFICE	RETAIL	INDUS- TRIAL	HOTEL	SELF STORAGE	HEALTH CARE	MANUFAC- TURED HOMES	REGIONAL MALLS	SHOPPING CENTERS	DATA CENTERS	INFRAST- RUCTURE	DIVERSIFIED
APARTMENT	1.0000	0.9093	0.8828	0.7158	0.7963	0.8108	0.8028	0.7762	0.7034	0.8347	-0.0586	0.2263	0.9405
OFFICE	0.9093	1.0000	0.8953	0.8283	0.9049	0.7092	0.8126	0.7088	0.6547	0.7489	0.1972	0.2902	0.9706
RETAIL	0.8828	0.8953	1.0000	0.7524	0.8533	0.8028	0.8693	0.7020	0.9659	0.9802	-0.2919	0.0875	0.9376
INDUSTRIAL	0.7158	0.8283	0.7524	1.0000	0.6914	0.5870	0.6339	0.6525	0.1905	0.6156	0.5915	0.6334	0.7776
HOTEL	0.7963	0.9049	0.8533	0.6914	1.0000	0.5762	0.7256	0.5834	0.7337	0.7937	-0.2359	-0.0660	0.8951
SELF STORAGE	0.8108	0.7092	0.8028	0.5870	0.5762	1.0000	0.6760	0.6219	0.6500	0.7221	-0.1652	-0.1540	0.7628
HEALH CARE	0.8028	0.8126	0.8693	0.6339	0.7256	0.6760	1.0000	0.5997	0.7422	0.8793	-0.1058	0.3320	0.8816
MANUFACTURED HOMES	0.7762	0.7088	0.7020	0.6525	0.5834	0.6219	0.5997	1.0000	0.3720	0.5940	0.2216	0.4395	0.7212
DATA CENTERS	-0.0586	0.1972	-0.2919	0.5915	-0.2359	-0.1652	-0.1058	0.2216	-0.3980	-0.1333	1.0000	0.5532	0.0345
INFRASTRUCTURE	0.2263	0.2902	0.0875	0.6334	-0.0660	-0.1540	0.3320	0.4395	-0.0708	0.2106	0.5532	1.0000	0.2350

PROPERTIES GO MAINSTREAM

non-traditional property types will be a material benefit to the asset class, attracting additional capital and enhancing investment opportunities-and ensuring that investors will emerge as winners.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Indraneel Karlekar, PhD, is Global Head of Research & Strategy, Principal Real Estate Investors, the dedicated real estate investment group within Principal Global Investors, which builds on a vertically integrated platform that incorporates all disciplines of commercial real estate.

DIVERSIFYING INTO DIGITAL



By Warren Wachsberger CFO **AECOM** Capital

Josh Katzin CIO **AECOM** Capital

Corbett Kruse Associate **AECOM** Capital

As investors look for sustainable sources of inflation-protected yield, real estate investment is increasingly blurring into a wider range of "digital" real asset investment strategies.

is and distributed antenna system what has attracted our firm (DAS) networks, and data to this space, and how digital many of the same attributes investor portfolios. as traditional real estate, and in many cases, it benefits from even greater positive tailwinds.

DEFINING DIGITAL TAILWINDS

Increased mobile device usage, expanded use of cloud computing, expansion of the Internet of Things (IoT), and advancements in At its core, the development of digital real estate shares many of the artificial intelligence (AI) are all key demand drivers for continued same economic characteristics as traditional real estate development: 5G adoption. Corporate information technology spending on You are building a physical asset that is monetized by leasing out cloud computing alone has exploded to well over \$120 billion¹ in a finite amount of built capacity, and whose value depends in large 2020, as Amazon, Microsoft, Oracle, and Google have built out part on lease term, tenant credit, and the stability and growth dominant positions in the space. We are still in the early stages of profile of the underlying cash flows. And as with traditional real the IoT rollout, which is embedding communications technology estate, investors can choose between a range of approaches based in our homes, cars, and wearable devices. And expanded use of AI on risk tolerance, including core strategies focused on buying assets makes the capture of use of large quantities of data increasingly with stable in-place cash flows, to more opportunistic strategies important. As mundane items increasingly become "smart" that aim to create stabilized assets by either building new assets or through internet connectivity, they will need significant digital by fixing existing non-stabilized assets. infrastructure to support them.

As investors look for sustainable For example, at AECOM Returns on investment in the digital real estate space compare sources of inflation-protected Capital, the investment arm favorably to what is often seen in traditional real estate yield, real estate investment of AECOM (NYSE: ACM), development opportunities. In our real estate development increasingly blurring a global leader in design and strategy, for example, we generally target opportunistic returns into a wider range of real engineering services, we have with a three- to five-year time horizon. We see similar returns asset investment strategies— broadened our mandate from on the development of digital real estate on a five- to seven-year including the growing class of the traditional real estate time frame, meaning similar internal rates of return and higher "digital" real estate. Inclusive of "food groups" to include multiples. And these returns are often generated with long-term physical broadband networks digital real estate as well. In leases to credit tenants such as telecom carriers, government (fiber or wireless), small cell this article, we detail some of entities, and Fortune 500 companies.

The tailwinds behind the space are compelling. First, we see strong centers, digital real estate shares real estate might benefit other and growing demand drivers. Digital real estate benefits from the exponential growth of data, as well as from new technologies that are improving the way that we capture, store, and distribute data. Fifth-generation communication networks (5G) are currently being rolled out globally. The deployment and advancements of this new technology has set off a wave of new investment in digital assets. The recent pandemic serves as the ultimate use case (and the possible inflection point) for digital interconnectedness.

32

EXHIBIT 1: ENTERPRISE SPENDING-DATA CENTERS VS. CLOUD INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

Source: Statista, "Enterprise Spending on Cloud and Data Centers by Segment" (May 2021)



103 bidders won US\$1.49 billion over ten years to provide fixed broadband and voice services to over 700,000 locations across 45 states.

Investment in this space will also benefit from the initial portion of these high-speed networks. significant federal and state government subsidy, RDOF is also one of several targeted government particularly as governments look to rectify the subsidies meant to incentivize private capital to "digital divide" that separates the haves and the invest in building out networks that would not have-nots for access to affordable broadband. For be otherwise economically viable. The Connect example, the Federal Communication Commission America Fund Phase II (CAF II) Auction was the has created the Rural Digital Opportunity Fund preceding federal broadband funding program (RDOF), a US\$20.4 billion initiative created to and was rolled out in 2018; 103 bidders won inject capital into the buildout and maintenance US\$1.49 billion over ten years to provide fixed of broadband networks benefiting underserved broadband and voice services to over 700,000 rural geographies across the US. Hundreds of locations across 45 states. The federal subsidy providers, carriers, investors, and entrepreneurs support from RDOF and CAF II each offer ten participated in the RDOF reverse auction and years of support with the subsidy distributed in are now quickly seeking equity capital to finance equal monthly installments.



DIGITAL REAL ESTATE AND ESG TARGETS

Beyond returns, digital real estate investments that have the potential to bridge the digital divide are beneficial to ESG programs. The social and economic impact that digital infrastructure has been proven to reduce poverty, promote inclusiveness, and enhance gender equity. And the need for this type of investment is global: The development of digital infrastructure has, for example, been shown to have a powerful economic effect in developing countries: with every 10% increase in broadband penetration, GDP is increased by 1.38% per year in developing countries, according to the International Telecommunication Union (ITU).² Additionally, ITU studies show that approximately 30 full-time jobs are created for every US\$1 million invested in broadband infrastructure.

For these economic and non-economic reasons, the development of digital real estate is a compelling and growing opportunity Governments often are better at identifying outcomes that they for investment portfolios. wherein many cases, demand want to achieve than at figuring out how to harness market forces continues to outstrip supply, which is good for values and to help them reach those goals. For example, a state Department of returns. Digital real estate also contains the opportunity to Transportation (DOT) may have the goal of offering smart services improve people's lives by helping to deliver more equal access along its freeways, which requires laying fiber along roadways to to digital infrastructure. And importantly, investment in this area provide the necessary broadband coverage. helps grow the economy more broadly, helping to drive innovation Capital constraints aside, the DOT would want to roll that out

and the creation of the jobs of the future. broadly across its road network—a goal that cannot be met within the state's budget. The state might look to private capital to fill the These trends all existed before the pandemic, but they have only gap. But private capital will typically be interested in funding only been reinforced as we have all become more aware, and more parts of that broadband rollout-in areas that are most densely dependent on, the digital infrastructure that connects us. populated, or which suffer from gaps in existing fiber coverage, and therefore are the most commercially valuable.

Digital real estate also contains the opportunity to improve people's lives by helping to deliver more equal access to digital infrastructure.

UNDERSTANDING THE DIGITAL **REGULATORY LANDSCAPE**

Many digital investment opportunities involve working with governments to meet their policy and operational goals, which requires a collaborative and consultative approach.

To bridge this gap between the state's more expansive goals and private capital's narrower commercial interests, a consultative approach is required. This involves more than just bidding in an auction. As is often the case with public-private partnerships, the best outcomes are achieved when the public entity and private commercial partner find a way to work collaboratively to achieve as much of the state entity's goals as possible, within its budget constraints, given the range of commercial opportunities available.

This ability to navigate government entities and know the ins-andouts of working with them is therefore particularly important for these types of investments.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Warren Wachsberger is CEO, Josh Katzin is CIO, and Corbett Kruse is an associate at AECOM Capital, the principal investment arm of of AECOM (NYSE: ACM), a leading global infrastructure company.

NOTES

¹ Statista, "Enterprise Spending on Cloud and Data Centers by Segment," Statista, May 2021, https://www.statista.com/statistics/1114926/enterprise-spending-cloudand-data-centers/

² International Telecommunication Union, "Economic impact of COVID-19 on Digital Infrastructure," June 2020, https://www.itu.int/pub/D-PREF-EF.COV_ECO_IMPACT

TAPPING INTO BIOTECH



Life sciences real estate has been a "hot" property type for the past decade—and even more since the pandemic. Will all the capital targeting the space be placed where it needs to go?

By William Maher Director of Strategy & Research RCLCO Fund Advisors

Ben Maslan Managing Director RCLCO Fund Advisors

Cecilia Galliani Vice President **RCLCO Fund Advisors** Life sciences real estate (LSRE) has been a "hot" property type for the past decade or so, and interest has accelerated with the advent of the COVID-19 pandemic. The sector benefits from strong demand drivers with limited new supply (compared to demand), although new developers and investors are entering the space. Returns have been strong and capital is likely to flow to life sciences real estate for the foreseeable future.

At the same time, the sector is relatively small and concentrated in just a few markets. In this article, we examine the main attributes of success in LSRE-and explore whether all of the capital targeting the space will successfully find a home.

STRONG DEMAND DRIVERS

LSRE demand comes from technologies, such as messenger companies involved in providing RNA (mRNA), which was used products and services for the to develop the Moderna and healthcare sector. In particular, Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 life sciences companies are vaccines. That is just one of focused on the development the many advances that will and commercialization of new likely spur new investments and drugs and medical devices. The drive demand for additional life sector benefits from advances sciences space. in (and the convergence of) technology and medicine, changes in the delivery of healthcare—including highly targeted therapies-and an aging population that requires additional healthcare services. Significant capital sources, including the National Institute people, respectively) in 2020, of Health (NIH), venture capital, and corporate funding, support the industry's high R&D costs.

Advances in technology have 2.8%, respectively, significantly accelerated the pace of change outpacing general US population in biological research, leading growth of 0.8%. As the elderly to more companies with greater populations grows, so will the research funding. Emblematic prevalence of chronic health of this rapid change is that conditions that require some the cost of DNA sequencing is kind of medication. decreasing at a rate faster than Moore's Law.¹ For example, it cost US\$3 billion to map the first human genome in 2003. By 2019, it was less than US\$1,000-and within a decade or less, the cost could be less than US\$100. The result is an acceleration in the growth of emerging platform

America's aging population will also drive increasing consumption of health services. The population cohort of those aged 70+ and 80+ made up 11.1% and 3.9% of the US population (36.1 million and 12.8 million respectively. Those figures are projected to increase to 14.4% and 5.3% (50.5 million and 18.6 million) by 2030, representing annual growth rates of 2.7% and

EXHIBIT 1: POPULATION GROWTH BY AGE COHORT: 2020-2030





Healthcare products account for more than half of the life sciences industry revenue, and the increasing medical needs of the aging US population drives demand for pharmaceuticals. Worldwide drug sales have grown at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 3.5% over the past five years (through 2020), outpacing GDP growth of 1.5% over the same time period. As shown in Exhibit 2, drug sales growth is expected to accelerate going forward, with overall sales projected to grow to US\$1.4 trillion by \$250 2026, a CAGR of approximately 7.7%.² Future sales will require significant research and development costs in order to develop \$200 new drugs and medical products. Worldwide pharmaceutical R&D spend is forecast to grow at an annualized rate of 4.2% \$150 between 2020 and 2026. (See Exhibit 3)

EXHIBIT 2: WORLDWIDE PRESCRIPTION DRUG SALES AND FORECAST, 2016-2026

Source: Evaluate Pharma



EXHIBIT 3: WORLDWIDE PHARMACEUTICAL R&D SPEND (US\$ BILLIONS)

Source: Evaluate Pharma



This outsized growth has brought a substantial influx of capital from both public and private sectors. Life sciences venture funding flowed at a historic pace in 2020, and 2021 is on pace to set an all-time high for both deal volume and capital raised (Exhibit 4).

EXHIBIT 4: US VENTURE CAPITAL DEAL ACTIVITY IN LIFE SCIENCES

Source: Pitchbook Venture Monitor; as of 30 June 2021



SPECIALIZED KNOWLEDGE REQUIRED

Investment in life sciences Additionally, relationships are real estate requires a deep key in the life science space, understanding of the sector particularly in attracting and specialized knowledge tenants. LSRE is typically regarding tenants operations. Expertise in lab most tenants, who therefore design and implementation place a premium on leasing is crucial, creating a barrier space from a reliable operator. to entry for traditional office Relationships are even operators trying to develop or more crucial in speculative convert existing office space into developments, which must lab space. Some of the additional physical requirements include and build-to-suit projects, increased building ventilation where the tenant will rely on systems, cleanrooms, more an established operator. plumbing capacity throughout the building, higher power capacity, and higher floor-tofloor heights.

Another important reason for start-ups to growth companies specialized knowledge is one of to established, publicly traded the asset type's greatest value pharmaceutical companies. drivers: reusability. Freshly delivered life sciences space has growth companies are cash flow an average use-life of around negative with a limited history, fifteen years before renovation making the understanding is required, while the average of products and financial lease term is only around 7–10 backers key to underwriting vears-creating ample room tenant credit. for second-generation leases at a high net effective rent, for a fraction of the upfront TI requirements. However, tenant build outs must be designed to offer the greatest flexibility second (and later) for generation tenants.

and mission-critical space for cater to a wide array of tenants,

Life sciences tenants have concentrated in cities with an educated workforce, access to key industry scientists, highly ranked schools, a sizeable high-tech workforce, and access to funding. Evaluating the credit quality The top three life sciences markets are Boston, San Francisco, and San Diego, which comprise more than half of all life of the tenant also requires sciences space in the US. These cities provide access to quality specialized knowledge. Life graduates in biological and biomedical sciences as well as PhDscience tenants range from level candidates from nearby academic institutions and hospitals. Other markets with significant life sciences sectors include New Jersey, Philadelphia, and Washington, DC, while markets such as Raleigh/Durham and Seattle could develop sizable life sciences Many of the start-up and clusters over the next decade. Market fundamentals for life sciences and lab space are attractive, both historically and expected going forward. While life sciences

PREFERRED MARKETS

inventory is still a fraction of traditional office inventory, at approximately 4% of office inventory, LSRE makes up more than 10% of office inventory in Boston, New Jersey, and San Diego. Vacancy rates in the top life sciences markets are well below office vacancy rates (with the exception of Philadelphia), as shown in Exhibit 5. Rental rate growth has nearly averaged double digits over the past five years, significantly outpacing rental rate growth across most other property types. With demand expected to outstrip supply for the short and intermediate term. Green Street forecasts that LSRE NOI growth will outpace both gateway office and commercial real estate over the long term.³

EXHIBIT 5: TOP SIX US LIFE SCIENCE MARKETS BY INVENTORY

Source: CBRE; Q1 2021



Investor interest coming out of the pandemic has led to record volume so far in 2021.

INVESTMENT CONSIDERATIONS

The growth of the life sciences industry coupled with a limited new supply has attracted the attention of commercial real estate investors. While sales activity has been relatively stable over the past six years (with the exception of 2016 and 2019), investor interest coming out of the pandemic has led to record volume so far in 2021. Transaction volume through June totaled US\$9.0 billion (Exhibit 6), on track to exceed the prior peak in 2016 when Blackstone took Biomed Realty Trust private.





LSRE cap rates have decreased from 8.6% in 2010 to 6.1%, a record low, according to Real Capital Analytics. Cap rates for the sector have historically tracked office cap rates but are now approximately 40 BPS lower, reflecting declining investor interest in traditional office and increasing investor interest in life sciences. Relative to industrial and multifamily, two more in-demand property types, life sciences cap rates remain between 30 to 110 BPS above these property types, respectively. Despite a lower cap rate than traditional office, LSRE cash flows are expected to be higher, as compared to traditional office, LSRE typically has higher tenant renewal rates and lower tenant improvement costs.

Investment returns in the private market are difficult to track as life sciences makes up a small component of major real estate return indices such as NPI and NFI-ODCE.⁴ However, the performance of the one LSRE REIT that is publicly trade-Alexandria Real Estate Equities (ARE)—compared to the overall REIT benchmark shows clear outperformance over the past 5+ years (Exhibit 7).

EXHIBIT 7: ARE VS. NAREIT ALL EQUITY REITS (TOTAL **RETURN); GROWTH OF US\$1**



Investing in LSRE is not a risk-free proposition. As discussed above, many life sciences companies have little or no revenue, and they are therefore reliant on positive research results to have continued access to capital. As a small sector, new supply is always a risk, particularly in the current environment when high general office vacancy rates may lead to an increase in conversions. Finally, the biotech and pharmaceutical industries are highly regulated and dependent on government agencies for both drug approvals and pricing power.

> Relative to industrial and multifamily, two more indemand property types, life sciences cap rates remain between 30 to 110 BPS above these property types, respectively.

WHAT'S NEXT FOR LSRE

the case with most real estate sectors.

Life sciences real estate is priced at spreads that are similar to other property types with strong demand drivers and keen investor interest. Strong demand for space from biotech and other companies should continue to drive rent growth and total returns NOTES despite the low cap rates. Although the overall size of the sector is limited, institutional investors that can develop relationships with operators with expertise in the right markets should continue to find opportunities and generate attractive returns.



Strong demand for space from biotech and other companies should continue to drive rent growth and total returns despite the low cap rates.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Investor interest in life sciences real estate is robust, leading to rich William Maher is Director of Strategy & Research; Ben Maslan pricing and record low cap rates and high transaction volumes. is Managing Director; and Cecilia Galliani is Vice President for New entrants have entered the market to capitalize on the strong RCLCO Fund Advisors (RFA). RFA is an affiliate of RCLCO investor interest, contributing to an increase in supply. However, (formerly Robert Charles Lesser & Co.), which has been the "first the strong demand drivers should continue to lead to absorption call" for real estate developers, investors, the public sector, and keeping pace with supply. Cap rates are at record lows, but that is non-real estate companies and organizations seeking strategic and tactical advice regarding property investment, planning, and development since 1967.

- RFA aims to improve the institutional real estate investment model by providing customized and aligned consulting and advisory solutions to LP investors, facilitating partnerships that generate greater LP control and transparency, and driving longterm objectives in allocation, access, diversification and performance with greater fee effectiveness. RFA was established in 2011 and has been an SEC Registered Investmen Advisor since 2014.
- ¹ Moore's law is the observation that the number of transistors in a dense integrated circui (IC) doubles about every two years
- ² Evaluate Pharma, "World Preview 2021, Outlook to 2026," Evaluate Pharma, July 2021 https://info.evaluate.com/rs/607-YGS-364/images/WorldPreviewReport_Final_2021.pdf
- ³ Green Street, s.v. "Life Sciences Insights," Accessed July 15, 2021.
- ⁴ National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries, s.v. "Property Index, Accessed July 15, 2021; National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries, s.v. "Fund Index, Open End Diversified Core Equity," Accessed July 15, 2021.

HIGH-WATER MARKS



Interest and excellence in ESG performance is becoming increasingly critical to portfolio strategy. So with sea levels on the rise, how can portfolios stay above water?

As investors focus more each day on environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations, one risk that should increasingly come into focus is the rise in sea levels globally. There is no longer much of a debate around if sea levels are rising-they are, and they've risen by eight inches globally since 1880, and faster since 1900, than in any preceding century for at least 3,000 years.¹ But the evidence suggests that this pace is accelerating materially, with some areas along the US East Coast and Gulf of Mexico seeing eight-inch rises in the last fifty years alone.²

Today, the debate has moved from if to how fast sea levels will rise from here. This is a function of a variety of interrelated factors that include greenhouse gas emissions, global temperature rises, and the rate of melting ice. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) has summarized the debate by publishing a hundred-year forecast incorporating the latest science. They forecast a twelve-inch global sea level rise by 2100 at the "low" level, and an approximately six-foot rise at the "high" level.

EXHIBIT 1: POSSIBLE FUTURE SEA LEVELS FOR DIFFERENT GREENHOUSE GAS PATHWAYS

Source: NOAA and Climate.gov



Note: Observed sea level from tide gauges (dark blue) and satellites (dark green) from 1800–2015, with future sea level through 2100 under six possible future scenarios (colored lines). The scenarios differ based on potential future rates of greenhouse gas emissions and differences in the plausible rates of glacier and ice sheet loss

By Jerry Speltz Head of US Real Estate Engineering Barings Real Estate

ASSESSING THE RISKS

From a real estate investor's Indeed, a recent NASA study point of view, assessing the risk indicates a rise of two feet of such potentially dramatic above today's sea level would changes can be a challenge, put more than US\$1 trillion of but steps can be taken to begin property and structures in the to quantify exposure and to US at risk of inundation, with mitigate risks. The risks to real roughly half of that exposure estate assets range from periodic concentrated in Florida.³ flooding to chronic inundation, which not only damage property but limit tenants' ability to conduct business.

Eight of the world's ten largest Carolina, also look particularly cities are in or near coastal vulnerable to rising seas and areas—suggesting that the coastal storm surges. economic activity potentially at risk cannot be understated. In the US, almost 40% of the population is clustered in high-density coastal areas, which are prone to flooding, shoreline erosion, and hazards from storms.

Other coastal states with large areas of low-lying land, including California, Louisiana, North Carolina, and South

TIME FOR ACTION

Negative impacts to communities in these regions are almost certainly on the horizon, but there is hope. With some foresight and planning, it should be possible to reduce future losses through strategies that include mitigation and diversification.

While one might expect the impact from sea level rise to be gradual, experience suggests otherwise. More likely, the effects of sea level rise will be subject to "tipping points" triggered by such events as major storm surges from hurricanes, and the treatment plants.

Some jurisdictions are better suited than others to respond to inundation. In Manhattan, for example, the protected nature of the island, the intense urbanization, and the value of the real estate make the consideration of sea walls and mitigation efforts reasonable.⁴ For cities like Miami, however, there is no similarly reasonable solution. And in smaller communities, the available solutions may not prove cost effective even when feasible. Additionally, much uncertainty exists around who would pay for such solutions, and it would likely take years of political negotiations to structure tax plans and insurance policies to effectively protect at-risk properties. Managed retreat is an equally complex political problem, where consensus is nearly impossible.

With some foresight and planning, it should be possible to reduce future losses through strategies that include mitigation and diversification.

ISSUE 08

MAKING A PLAN

inundation of (or damage to) With the dollar value of the potential risk so high, analyses of key infrastructure, such as sea level risks are likely to strongly influence investment strategies ports, highways, bridges, and with exposure to coastal areas in the coming decades. Tactically, there are actions that can be taken today to begin to quantify and mitigate such risks, including:

- ADVANCED MODELING: Through the use of increasingly sophisticated technology, property owners and investors can gain a better understanding of which buildings and market areas are most vulnerable.
- MONITORING ACCUMULATION: By leveraging the output of the models, property owners can focus on where to monitor accumulation most closely.
- PRE-ACQUISITION SEA-LEVEL RISE PROTOCOLS: Bolstering and strictly adhering to multi-step, pre-acquisition, sea-level risk analyses for properties in vulnerable areas should better inform pricing and acquisition decisions.
- BUILDING ABOVE CODE REQUIREMENTS: It is far cheaper to build to higher standards than to mitigate flood risk.
- PRUDENT ASSET MANAGEMENT: Judicious capital investment, suitable insurance programs, and informed emergency response plans to protect existing portfolio assets are crucial to helping tenants continue business without interruption through flooding events.
- PUSHING FOR SOLUTIONS: This can include everything from analyzing the cost and feasibility of solutions, such as seawalls, to advocating for revised zoning regulations.
- REDUCING EXPOSURE IN HIGH-RISK MARKETS: Properties in areas where tourism and recreation become less attractive-and other business activities become more cumbersome and expensive due to increased flooding riskswill likely represent less attractive investments over time, and therefore, will be strong candidates for divestment.

PUTTING THE PLAN INTO ACTION

To help understand how such analyses and mitigation are structured, it is worth a closer look at the process undertaken. As part of this closer look, the Barings Real team has conducted sea level risk analyses and miti plans for multi-billion dollar real estate portfolios with exposure to coastal regions across hundreds of individua and equity investments.

The first step in assessing such risks is a three-part and conducted at the portfolio level:

- LEVEL 1: A site-level estimate of depth of flooding based NOAA's global sea level rise scenarios
- LEVEL 2: An analysis of the probabilistic exposure to se rise over time including low, moderate, and high estimate sea level rise scenarios for 2030, 2040, 2050, 2060, and
- LEVEL 3: A community-level risk assessment and accumulation estimate based on the tracking of at-risk m for events and changes that may impact the entire market as opposed to a single asset

As a result of this analysis, it is possible to quantify the number of properties within the portfolio that are exposed to inundation risk Looking at it another way, and taking into account NOAA's risk varies dramatically as scenarios change.

In the hypothetical example in Exhibit 2, for instance, a one-foot rise from current sea levels resulted in minimal property value portfolio under the six-foot rise scenario.

EXHIBIT 2: PERCENTAGE OF HYPOTHETICAL PORTFOLIO VALUE AT RISK

Source: Barings. Illustrative example only.



plans that is Estate gation broad	
il debt	Rise from current sea levels
nalysis	resulted in minimal property value exposure, but that
d on	exposure rose to approximately 13% of the portfolio under the
ea level es of 2070	six-foot rise scenario.
arkets	

based on the NOAA's low-, medium-, and high-level scenarios. Of various scenarios over multiple decades, the next step is to hone course, the dollar portfolio value that is actually exposed to such in on approximately when the risks begin to increase for the portfolio, as shown in *Exhibit 3*.

exposure, but that exposure rose to approximately 13% of the EXHIBIT 3: ASSESSING THE PERCENTAGE OF PORTFOLIO VALUE EXPOSED TO SEA LEVEL RISE OVER TIME



Source: Barings. Illustrative example only.

Finally, a property-level assessment is conducted for any asset considered "at risk" to provide more detail around which scenarios pose the greatest risks. This analysis takes into account not only the impact of global sea level rises, but also nuisance flooding, which is typically not deadly or dangerous, but can still have material impacts on a property values; for example, if a nearby bridge or road being inundated makes the property inaccessible. A sample visual of this analysis is shown in *Exhibit 4* (next page).

EXHIBIT 4: PROPERTY-LEVEL ANALYSIS OF FLOODING RISK

Source: Barings. Illustrative example only.



Note: The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88) is the official vertical datum of the United States. It is a geodetic datum, or reference surface of zero elevation to which heights are referred to over a large geographic extent

In addition to quantifying and creating a more holistic quantify and measure exposure metrics that can help to assess, understanding of the climate-related risks to the portfolio, this analysis also helps to inform an asset and portfolio management action plan that can be implemented immediately to begin to this article has been on this mitigate risks. Such actions include:

- Deployment strategies for temporary flood barriers (e.g., "Aqua Fence")
- Relocating electrical equipment to higher elevations
- Building above code requirements
- Working with professional organizations and political institutions to effect change
- Reducing financial exposure to high-risk real estate markets

These actions not only have short-term benefits for specific properties, but they also can result in other positive downstream impacts, such as enhancing competitive positioning of properties among their peer set, diversifying portfolios, reducing insurance premiums, and most importantly, driving long-term value for investors. Importantly, although a given building may not be at immediate risk, the economic health of the entire community may be at risk, and thus, the commercial value of buildings is very likely to be impacted.

EXPANDING THE MODEL

The type of analysis shown here Ultimately, the ability for will only get more sophisticated, managers to meet these and by necessity, more common. increasingly As real estate investment investment requirements will managers field increasingly come down to the quality of detailed questions and grapple their systems. The time to invest with increasingly stringent heavily in technologies and ESG-related requirements systems-to measure, store, from their clients, being able to and analyze environmental to risks like sea level rise will and ultimately mitigate such be critical. While the scope of risks—is now. one risk, the same can be said for other critical areas like measuring and tracking the energy efficiency and carbon intensity of properties as well as creating pathways for these real estate assets to reach net zero carbon by 2050.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Jerry Speltz is Head of US Real Estate Engineering for Barings Real Estate. Barings is a \$382+ billion global investment manager sourcing differentiated opportunities and building long-term portfolios across public and private fixed income, real estate, and specialist equity markets.

sophisticated

NOTES

* Barings Real Estate intentionally employs in-house engineers and insurance professionals, and further partners with specialty third-party consultants, to ensure appropriate attention to climate risk analysis.

¹ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, "Climate Change 2021," August 2021, https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_SPM.pdf

² Rebecca Lindsey, "Climate Change: Global Sea Level," ClimateWatch Magazine, August 2020, https://www.climate.gov/news-features/understanding-climate/climate-change global-sea-level.

³ Union of Concerned Scientists, "Underwater: Rising Seas, Chronic Floods, and the Implications for US Coastal Real Estate," June 2018, https://www.ucsusa.org/sites/ default/files/attach/2018/06/underwater-analysis-full-report.pdf

⁴ Anne Barnard, "The \$119 Billion Sea Wall that Could Defend New York...or Not," New York Times, January 17, 2020, https://www.nytimes.com/2020/01/17/nyregion/seawall-nvc.html

Although a given building may not be at immediate risk, the economic health of the entire community may be at risk, and thus, the commercial value of buildings is very likely to be impacted.

WHY DEBT, WHY NOW?

SUMMIT



ISSUE 08

Debt funds remain a comparatively small part of the real estate investment market, but they have been gaining in prominence in recent years.

By Karen Martinus Senior Research Associate **USAA** Real Estate

Mark Fitzgerald, CFA, CAIA Executive Director of Research USAA Real Estate

Will McIntosh, PhD, CRE Global Head of Research USAA Real Estate

remain a Broadly, Debt funds comparatively small part of the pandemic created an economic real estate investment market, setback that led traditional The share of debt funds in of the pandemic, and while total capital raised globally by most have returned to nearly steadily, growing from 7% in constraints on proceeds, as 2016 to about 12% in 2020.1 compared to pre-COVID. Funds investing in North America continue to lead the pack in the real estate debt world. Capital raised for debt funds shows the depth of the market in the US as compared with Europe. For non-US-based investors looking for exposure to commercial real estate (CRE) debt, the US market offers numerous attractions.

the COVID-19 but they have been gaining in sources of debt capital to prominence in recent years. retrench during the early stages real estate investors has risen normal activity, there remain

> CRE debt markets are also at different levels of maturity. Since 2008 the US has raised about 2.5 times more capital for debt funds compared to Europe.² As such, CRE debt presents an attractive proposition, at a time when returns from fixed income investments have been pushed to all-time lows. In the current low interest rate environment, the CRE lending sector should remain attractive on a relative basis given the decline in bond yields.

FUNDING GAP

CRE debt has long been considered attractive for its ability to combine stable income returns with a level of downside protection from real asset exposure. However, the lure has intensified as regulatory requirements have constrained traditional capital sources, creating opportunities for non-traditional lenders to fill the resulting gap.

- Recent economic uncertainty has exacerbated the capital gap that resulted from regulation during the last expansion, creating further opportunity for non-traditional lenders.
- Lending standards tightened dramatically in 2020 as banks responded to the uncertain economic outlook. As demonstrated by the Federal Reserve Senior Loan Officer Survey (Exhibit 1), the percentage of banks tightening lending standards reached levels not seen since the GFC. Lending standards have started to loosen in recent quarters but remain constrained.³
- Reduced appetite amongst traditional lenders for certain types of real estate lending—particularly transitional properties or assets which are typically higher risk—creates further opportunity for alternative lenders to respond to unmet demand.
- Nontraditional capital providers are well positioned to take advantage of this funding gap by originating and acquiring loans to produce attractive risk-adjusted returns, while potentially taking materially less risk due to having seniority in the capital stack in relation to the equity position.

EXHIBIT 1: STRICTER BANK LENDING STANDARDS

Source: Federal Reserve Senior Loan Officer Survey, USAA Real Estate Research



- Banks are the primary source of construction loan capital in the US, though bank construction loans outstanding remain 39% below pre-GFC levels, even though development activity is above 2007 levels (Exhibit 2).
- This demonstrates that for construction deals, the market is seeing a mix of more equity capital required and nontraditional lenders increasingly being relied upon to meet the borrowing needs of developers.

EXHIBIT 2: CAPITAL GAP-BANK CONSTRUCTION LOANS OUSTANDING (US\$ BILLIONS)

Source: FDIC; USAA Real Estate Research



US CRE DEBT IS A SIZEABLE MARKET

These market dynamics suggest an attractive investment environment for private debt. According to the 2021 ANREV/ INREV/NCREIF Capital Raising Survey, non-listed debt products were the only type of vehicle for which the number that raised capital increased from 48 in 2019 to 76 in 2020, growing their share in the total number of vehicles fromt 5% to 11%.⁴

US CRE debt makes up a large market, with over US\$5.0 trillion in US mortgage debt outstanding as of Q2 2021, via traditional sources.⁵ The size of the market offers institutional investors depth, liquidity as well as potentially strong risk-adjusted returns. Capital raised for debt funds shows the depth of the market in the US, especially as compared with Europe. Since 2008, the US has raised about 2.5 times more capital for debt funds as compared to Europe (Exhibit 3). However, appetite for CRE debt vehicles amongst European-domiciled investors is growing. European investors account for 51% of the global capital raised for nonlisted debt vehicles in 2020. This is a notable change compared to 2019 when European-domiciled investors accounted for only 13% of the capital raised for non-listed debt products.⁶

The CRE debt market in Europe continues to lag the strong growth in investor appetite. Outside the US, real estate finance continues to primarily be a bank-led market, although European non-bank lending activity has grown in recent years. Real estate debt funds remain comparatively new in Europe as the market is evolving, but vehicles have been gaining in momentum.



EXHIBIT 3: CAPITAL RAISE FOR CRE DEBT FUNDS,

STRONG RELATIVE PERFORMANCE

Institutional investors have increased their appetites for CRE debt funds in recent years. The reasons for this are familiar; the hunt for yield and diversification arguments are well-known-though low volatility and strong relative performance have also played key roles. Expectations of traditional fixed income securities have been driven extremely low. Demand for yield has become more pronounced and the relative risk-reward profile of CRE debt has **BEYOND THE CURRENT ENVIRONMENT** become even more compelling.

- CRE debt funds have outperformed investment-grade corporate bonds by 540 BPS annually, on average, over the past ten years, and outperformed high-yield corporate bonds by 370 BPS (Exhibit 4).7
- CRE debt fund total returns have also compared favorably to other real assets. Private equity real estate returns, as captured by Pregin. Have delivered returns of 9.3% and 8.9% for opportunistic and core strategies, compared to 10.4% for CRE debt funds over a ten-year period.8
- Looking at the available returns data for CRE debt funds across geographies, the relative outperformance of the US compared to Europe is also clear. Most vintages where we have data suggest North American debt funds have outperformed Europe across seven out of nine vintages.

EXHIBIT 4: TEN-YEAR RETURNS THROUGH Q1 2021

Source: Bloomberg US Corporate High Yield Bond Index (LF98TRUU:IND), Bloomberg US Aggregate Bond Index (LBUSTRUU:IND); Preqin Private Capital Benchmarks (note captured funds are global); USAA Real Estate Research





In the current environment, investors have become very selective, choosing certain asset classes over others and focusing on quality assets in terms of location, tenant covenants, and ESG criteria. US CRE debt has been increasingly sought after by pension funds and other institutional investors, and the arguments for including real estate in private-debt allocations appear to be strong.

Currently, there is an opportunity for institutional investors to fill the funding gap and capitalize on the robust demand in the commercial lending sector while providing investors with strong risk-adjusted returns. CRE debt strategies are attractive because they rival core equity strategies from a total return perspective and provide substantially more return than traditional fixed income in the current environment, while potentially taking materially less risk due to seniority in the capital stack in relation to the equity position.

As with most investment opportunities, real estate lending has a certain level of embedded risk. Even with such a strong CRE debt outlook, it is important to ensure sponsor alignment. It is critical to invest with a qualified and experienced investment manager that can navigate the risks and challenges within this sector.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Karen Martinus is Senior Research Associate; Mark Fitzgerald, CFA, CAIA, is Executive Director of Research; and Will McIntosh, PhD, CRE, is Global Head of Research for USAA Real Estate.

NOTES

This article represents the opinions and recommendations of the authors and are subject to change without notice. Past performance is no guarantee of future results

- Asian Association for Investors in Non-Listed Real Estate Vehicles, European Association for Investors in Non-Listed Real Estate Vehicles, and National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries, Capital Raising Survey 2021, INREV, April 13, 2021, https:// www.inrev.org/research/capital-raising-survey.
- ² IREI (website), Institutional Real Estate, Inc., https://irei.com/.
- ³ "Senior Loan Officer Opinion Survey on Bank Lending Practices," Federal Reserve, Accessed October 25, 2021, https://www.federalreserve.gov/data/sloos/sloo 202004.htm.
- ⁴ ANREV, INREV, and NCREIF, Capital Raising Survey 2021.
- ⁵ "Financial Accounts of the United States," Federal Reserve, September 23, 2021, https://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/z1/.
- ⁶ ANREV, INREV, and NCREIF, Capital Raising Survey 2021.
- ⁷ Preqin, Private Capital Performance Data Guide, Preqin, Accessed October 25, 2021, https://docs.preqin.com/pro/Private-Capital-Performance-Guide.pdf; Bloomberg and Barclays Indices, US Corporate High Yield Bond Index, Accessed October 25, 2021, https://assets.bwbx.io/documents/users/iqjWHBFdfxIU/rSbjXhPAtPbI/v0; Bloomberg and Barclays Indices, US Aggregate Bond Index, Bloomberg, September 30, 2021, https://www.ssga.com/library-content/products/factsheets/etfs/emea/factsheet emea-en gb-sybu-gy.pdf.
- 8 Preqin, Private Capital Performance Data Guide.

SUMMIT



ISSUE 08

By Lori Mabardi Senior Director, Research, ESG JLL

Emily Chadwick Lead Risk Advisor, ESG JLL Valuation Advisory EMEA

Eric Enloe Head of Commercial Valuation JLL Valuation and Advisory Services, US Sustainability has become a By 2030, many mainstream issue within the corporations and ambitious commercial real estate sector governments aim to achieve and will become even more fundamental in the process commercial real estate sector, of delivering office space as it the vision is for all buildings moves up the occupier agenda. (both new and existing) to be Globally, what are the trends in valuing net-zero and ESG strategies for the office sector?

With more tenants focusing on environmental targets, to balance their portfolio, the burden to reduce direct emissions will intensify, placing increased pressure impact it will have on their on real estate investors, who are now at a pivotal moment in defining their sustainable investment strategies.

The International Energy Agency's 2019 World Energy Statistics and Balances report shows that the built environment contributes 40% of carbon emissions worldwide. Evolving have the greatest impact on real development legislation urging estate performance, with two better sustainability and building practices for new and existing assets coupled with the rapid expansion of ESG criteria for commercial real estate investment will likely significantly impact the value of assets moving forward.

global their net-zero position. As for the net-zero by 2050.

Investors will need to understand if a building can achieve a net-zero status, how what the costs are to achieve this ambitious goal, and what valuations. As occupational trends evolve and the future of work transforms, investors will also need to understand if their buildings are able to adapt to meet market demand.

According to a recent JLL survey of investors, sustainability and climate change are deemed to thirds stating that they would be increasing their allocations to more sustainable properties.¹

With more tenants focusing on environmental targets, the burden to reduce direct emissions places increased pressure on investors, who are at a pivotal moment in ESG strategy.

HOW TO VALUE SUSTAINABLE STRATEGIES

Valuers adopting Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) methodology can adapt assumptions that relate to income, exit yields, capital expenditures, voids, financing, and discount rates for all building types. Cash flows, which reflect the net income over the hold period, can illustrate how investment in sustainable buildings makes sense both ethically and financially.

INCOME

Rental income will be influenced by a limited supply of appropriately specified buildings and increased demand from tenants with ESG requirements. JLL research indicates that there is already an impact on several office markets, where the most sustainable specifications are resulting in premium rents, or discounts to prime rents are occurring where sustainability credentials are not in line with market expectations. The most significant risks to value exist where older buildings will soon not match up to changing occupier or legislative requirements, resulting in increased obsolescence. Therefore, when using forecasts that principally follow prime rents, there will be under-performing buildings, which will not track forecasted rental growth.

Based on JLL's research in Central London,² there is already a rental premium for BREEAM, a world-renowned sustainability rating scheme to assist the real estate industry to deliver sustainable buildings where the Outstanding- or Excellent-rated buildings tend to perform better than non-rated buildings. In fact, generally all buildings with a BREEAM rating of Very Good or higher achieve higher rents than those Grade-A buildings without a rating. The research shows that over the past three years, the average premium of all rated buildings above non-rated buildings is around eight percent. Similar rental premiums are also coming through internationally from the US and India (for LEED certified buildings) and Australia (for highly rated NABERS and Green Star buildings).

EXHIBIT 1: CENTRAL LONDON BREEAM RATED NEW GRADE A TRANSACTIONS RENTAL PREMIUM COMPARED TO ALL NEW GRADE A ACHIEVED RENTS

Source: JLL

	2011–2013	2014-2016	2017-2019
OUTSTANDING/EXCELLENT (441)	9%	11%	10%
VERY GOOD (303)	9%	5%	6%

It is worth noting that BREEAM and other green ratings are not the sole factor for low vacancy or increased rents. Tenants consider a wide range of factors when seeking new office space, including location, access to transportation, amenities, costs, and floorplates.

CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

When considering refurbishing Estimates and carbon efficient to upgrade type, design, and efficiency. quicker than standard offices. existing buildings, both in Delivering a more sustainable terms of specification and plant building will, in most cases, and machinery, to create a more cost more to build than a less efficient building.

Investors also need to consider the potential risk of future taxation penalizing excessive carbon emissions or operational inefficiency within a building. In the building should mitigate the terms of cash flow, the question initial higher capital investment. is whether to commit additional costs at the start of a retrofit While variations in costs over project, or to take advantage time and the pace of legislative of the short-term dearth of change are somewhat unknown, DISCOUNT RATE supply, or lower upfront costs delaying action will mean with the anticipation of further losing out on the short-term significant refurbishments costs supply-and-demand dynamics within the next ten years, to of the current market. stay in line with legislation and market demand.

sustainable office. However, if this results in higher demand lower void rates, and savings in operational expenditures, then the enhanced sustainability of

The enhanced sustainability of the building should mitigate the initial higher capital investment.

VOIDS

for additional At the end of leases, tenants either renew or the space is or retrofitting older buildings, it capital expenditure vary and remarketed. According to JLL research, well-specified spaces may be financially advantageous are dependent upon building fitted out to meet both sustainable and wellness criteria lease up

FINANCE

from occupiers, higher rents, Geared returns can enhance performance through using debt to either acquire or fund the retrofit of a building. An increasing number of green loans are also being made available, which results in lower finance costs where sustainability-related key performance indicators (KPIs) are achieved, resulting in a lower cost of debt and enhanced returns.

EXIT YIELD

The discount rate applied to The exit yield adopted in a the cash flow reflects the risks DCF reflects the quality of the associated with the achievement building and the estimated of a business plan in relation average weighted unexpired to the building over the term remaining on the lease hold period. Less sustainable at the time of the exit, which buildings will inherently have relates to a hold period normally a higher discount rate, as well reflected in the business plan or as potential increased capital a standard assumption of either expenditure over time, taxation, five or ten years. It also reflects longer voids, lower rents, and the market's assessment of the higher exit yields.

The associated risks will result If a building does not track the in a higher pricing discount. leading market standards, then By contrast, more sustainable the exit yield will be higher, buildings will prove less risky resulting in a lower value at the and bring lower discount rates. end of the hold period.

long-term net income growth.

LOOKING FORWARD

As more tenants commit to As technology and construction environmental targets, the techniques evolve, and as more pressure to engage with the supply chain and reduce direct less expensive to deliver, there emissions will intensify, leading may be a reduction in capital to increased pressure on real estate owners. This urgency methods to build what tenants are Conversely, it is also likely that increasingly demanding will only accelerate.

Investor- and tenant-driven ESG requirements are expected to increase in all types of buildings, both new and refurbished. Supply and demand imbalances will potentially Further, costs may be mitigated result in green premiums in the through the principle of the short-term for well-specified buildings, or brown discounts due to increased obsolescence. materials. Demolition costs These fluctuations in supply, may be significantly reduced as demand, cost, and legislation will occur over the next one to use. In addition, building two hold periods for investors.

the То achieve best sustainability credentials and net-zero carbon specifications, costs are generally higher than for a standard refurbishment. However, given the speed at which legislation and ESG requirements are advancing, it is projected that within the next ten years, further capital expenditure will be required if net-zero compliance decisions are not made now.

Despite the increase in capital expenditure, the associated rental premiums, reduction in yield, and lower interest expenses should result in a more positive cash flow and an overall increase in returns for greener buildings.

sustainable buildings become costs as new construction are adopted. the costs of maintaining a less sustainable building will rise as fossil fuel prices increase, carbon taxes are introduced, and fines are levied for those not keeping up with legislative requirements.

circular economy, with much more focus on recycling materials are resold for further design will result in more flexible buildings so that these assets can be refurbished more economically and adapted to alternative uses.

THE CIRCULAR ECONOMY

"Put simply, the circular economy is an economy where we create more value with fewer resources. A circular economy is based on three principles, as stated by Ellen MacArthur Foundation, the global leader in circular thinking:

- Design out waste and pollution
- Keep products and materials in use
- Regenerate natural systems

As opposed to a linear economy where we extract materials, use them, then dump them, the circular economy seeks to "close the loop" by reusing, repurposing, remanufacturing, or recycling materials.

For instance, it might mean designing a building so it can be easily adapted to different uses, constructing a building in a way that it can be dismantled without damaging the materials, or fitting out a property using repurposed or recycled furniture. It also means maximising the current value of assets by using them to their full capacity, for example, by using empty office space for events outside of business hours."

Emerging trends indicate that there may also be short-term premiums for net-zero buildings. While the low-carbon premium in rents and capital values may dissipate over time, buildings that have not had meaningful upgrades towards net-zero carbon will experience increased obsolescence.

As sustainability performance becomes clearer and more defined, it is likely that premiums will disappear. Buildings that don't comply will underperform. Buildings that are not designed to be net-zero carbon will require costly retrofits in the future, which will likely result in the displacement of tenants and lost rent.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Lori Mabardi is Senior Director, Research, ESG for JLL. Emily Chadwick is Lead Risk Advisor, ESG for JLL Valuation Advisory EMEA. Eric Enloe is Head of Commercial Valuation of JLL Valuation and Advisory Services, US.

NOTES

¹ JLL, "Decarbonizing the Built Environment," June 20, 2021, https://www.jll.co.uk/en/ trends-and-insights/research/global/responsible-real-estate.

² ILL, "The Impact of Sustainability on Value," May 28, 2020, https://www.ill.co.uk/en/ trends-and-insights/research/the-impact-of-sustainability-on-value

MIGRATION IN REAL TIME



As the public health situation started to improve in early 2021 and the economy re-opened, did migration flows change too—and what if we are able to answer this in real time?

Migration was a differentiator in the US housing is based on mobile phone 2020 and into 2021, both questions and provides early across and within markets. As evidence that, for the most the pandemic spread, people part, population losses or gains moved from more densely (depending on location) directly gateway metro areas to more likely temporary. We also find affordable ones, as well as from that mobile phone data can cities to suburbs. Residential be a good predictor of neardemand responded quickly to term domestic net migration the country.

As the public health situation 2021 and the economy re- track domestic migration flows opened, did migration flows in real time, and also offers change too? Are migration a few important additional patterns responding to the advantages, including the recent increases in the Delta ability to conduct analysis at variant infections?

key The following analysis, which DATA VALIDATION one-year lag.

> the most granular levels of geography, as well as by various demographic characteristics, or age.

By Michael Clawar Vice President, Data Science StratoDem Analytics An Altus Group Company

Gleb Nechayev Senior Vice President, Head of Research Berkshire Residential Investments

market performance through data, sheds light on these Using mobile phone data to track migration is still an emerging area of research. There is no shortage of firms that now offer a wide range of products and services based on such data to help their customers answer all kinds of questions that have to do with locational attributes. Not surprisingly, it is a particularly hot topic populated and more expensive triggered by the pandemic are in proptech that is transforming real estate, including how firms search for and analyze potential opportunities for investment and development.

While it makes sense that technology would make it possible to the changing patterns and 2020 in general, and therefore a key track people's daily mobility through their mobile phone usage, we ended with an unusually wide alternative source relative to could not find any published studies or articles on how accurately variation in rent growth and the official estimates that are this can be done-at least relative to publicly verifiable US sources. home price appreciation around provided by the US Census This prompted us to do our own analysis and the results turned Bureau, which has at least a out to be quite encouraging.

One of the first steps in the analysis was to compare our implied started to improve in early These new data allow us to domestic migration estimates based on mobile phone data to the actual domestic migration estimates provided by the US Census Bureau across states, metro areas, counties, and cities.¹

> Once we confirmed that the two sets of estimates are highly correlated across various geographies, the next step was to determine whether our estimates of domestic migration in the current year could also be reliably used as a predictor of the official domestic migration figures reported by the census in the following such as household income year. We found that they could, especially in more populous parts of the country where large mobile phone data sets provide more representative samples (Exhibit 1). Of course, it helps that domestic migration patterns change gradually from year to year, but it was important to prove that the new alternative source of high-frequency (monthly) data can be used as an accurate leading indicator for the main driver of population growth across markets.

EXHIBIT 1: % SHARE OF VARIATION IN REPORTED DOMESTIC MIGRATION RATES (EXPLAINED BY MOBILE PHONE-IMPLIED ESTIMATES)

Sources: Bureau of the Census; StratoDem Analytics - An Altus Group Company; Berkshire Research



The pandemic did not materially affect domestic migration trends for many major cities.

DOMESTIC MIGRATION DURING THE PANDEMIC

Once the data was validated, we were much more confident answering two key questions that arose in 2020 as the pandemic started regarding major impacts on domestic migration trends:

- 1) Are people moving out of the more expensive and more densely populated metro areas-especially coastal and gateway markets-and, if so, are they moving out at greater rates than in the prior year?
- 2) Are people moving out of major cities into suburbs more than they did in the prior year, or are they leaving for other metro areas?

Our initial findings, which are now also supported by the recently released census estimates, have suggested that the pandemic did exacerbate domestic migration trends across markets that were in place for some time. As Exhibit 2 shows, domestic migration has indeed improved or kept pace in most metro areas or divisions where it was already strong before the pandemic, but it has worsened on the opposite side of the spectrum.



EXHIBIT 2: TOP AND BOTTOM METRO AREAS FOR DOMESTIC MIGRATION IN 2020

Sources: Bureau of the Census; StratoDem Analytics - An Altus Group Company; Berkshire Research

TOP 10	2019	2020	воттом 10	2019	2020
AUSTIN	1.9	2.1	PHILADELPHIA	-0.6	-0.7
PHOENIX	1.5	1.6	NEWARK	-0.6	-0.7
JACKSONVILLE	1.3	1.3	OAKLAND	-0.5	-0.8
RALEIGH	1.3	1.3	DETROIT	-0.7	-0.8
TAMPA	1.1	1.3	CHICAGO	-0.9	-1.0
LAS VEGAS	1.4	1.2	LOS ANGELES	-1.0	-1.1
CHARLOTTE	1.0	1.1	MIAMI	-1.7	-1.5
SAN ANTONIO	0.8	1.0	SAN JOSE	-1.4	-1.5
NASHVILLE	0.8	0.9	NEW YORK	-1.4	-1.6
DALLAS	0.7	0.8	SAN FRANCISCO	-0.9	-1.6
AVERAGE	1.2	1.3	AVERAGE	-1.0	-1.1

In the case of the domestic trends within markets, the picture was more nuanced. The data show, for example, that the pandemic did not materially affect domestic migration trends for many major cities. In fact, urban population flows have even improved relative to the prior year in places such as Austin, Denver, Houston, Phoenix, Raleigh, San Antonio, Seattle, and Tampa. At the same time, the pandemic has reinforced migration out of the large and densely populated major cities in gateway markets, including New York, Los Angeles, Chicago, Boston, and San Francisco.

Our preliminary analysis suggests that most of the people who left those cities last year moved into neighboring suburbs. Despite the influx of new dwellers, suburbs still have experienced net out-migration at about the same rates as before the pandemic. In other words, both cities and suburbs experienced net population move-outs into other markets-usually in other states. Texas, Florida, Tennessee, Arizona, and Nevada were among the major beneficiaries of these trends.

The new data allows us to look rapidly expanding masterat the population flows even planned communities west of more closely and to have a fuller the city, such as Tartesso and picture of how migration trends Verrado. varied within cites or suburbs, as well as in terms of demographic profile of the movers. For example, Exhibit 3 shows that while the San Francisco metro

area lost population last year, some neighborhoods in the area added hundreds of new residents. Meanwhile, some of highest migration flows into Phoenix took place in large,

It was also instructive to find out that a typical migrant from San Francisco to Phoenix was 39 years old with a household income of approximately \$150,000, while those moving from Phoenix to San Francisco were 37 years old with a much lower household income of \$88,000.

EXHIBIT 3: ESTIMATED NET MIGRATION FROM MARCH 2020 THROUGH APRIL 2021; SAN FRANCISCO, CA

Sources: Unacast, StratoDem Analytics - An Altus Group Company, Berkshire Research



A typical migrant from San Francisco to Phoenix was 39 years old with a household income of approximately \$150,000.

EXHIBIT 4: ESTIMATED NET MIGRATION FROM MARCH 2020 THROUGH APRIL 2021; PHOENIX, AZ

Sources: Unacast, StratoDem Analytics - An Altus Group Company, Berkshire Research



Mobile phone data is a powerful alternative source for evaluating domestic migration across and within markets.

LOOKING AHEAD

As the public health situation improves, most of the population losses or gains directly linked to the pandemic are likely to be temporary, depending on 0.1 the location. To illustrate this, *Exhibit* 5 shows estimated implied rates of migration into and out of urban neighborhoods in gateway markets that -0.1 experienced tangible negative migration flows last year, -0.2 measured using monthly mobile phone data.

EXHIBIT 5: ESTIMATE NET URBAN MIGRATION RATE (%)

Sources: Bureau of the Census; StratoDem Analytics - An Altus Group Company; Berkshire Research



In these cities, population The pandemic is still only part would partially reverse as the certainly encouraging for many the data also show that urban which has yet to take place. migration has slowed, or in some cities, went negative again as the Delta variant caused more aberrations.

flows were closely tied to the of the story. These cities (and two waves of new infections in in most cases, their suburbs as the spring and winter. As the well) were already experiencing waves of infections subsided, negative domestic migration migration flows quickly turned before 2020, and it will positive, suggesting that the take time for those trends to pandemic-related move-outs stabilize or turn around. It is economy re-opens, depending investors to see that people have on how flexible businesses come back into urban areas, would be regarding work-from- but the real recovery will only home arrangements with their start when domestic migration employees. At the same time, exceeds pre-pandemic rates-

DOING MORE WITH REAL-TIME DATA

Mobile phone data is a powerful alternative source for evaluating domestic migration across and within markets. It allows us to track it with high frequency and granularity, including stratification by age and income, and can also be used to predict near-term population flows and potentially other demographic trends.

As with any new data source, further research will be needed to better understand the full range of its potential applications, but it is already proving to be quite effective in explaining variations in regional growth patterns, as well as the factors that might be driving them.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Michael Clawar is Vice President of Data Science for StratoDem Analytics-An Altus Group Company. Gleb Nechayev is Senior Vice President, Head of Research for Berkshire Residential Investments. The authors also thank Danny Kaminsky of StratoDem Analytics for his data support.

NOTES

This material is for informational purposes only and is not intended to, and does not constitute financial advice, investment management services, an offer of financial products or to enter into any contract or investment agreement.

¹ StratoDem Analytics integrates raw mobile phone data provided by Unacast with StratoDem economic and demographic nowcasting and forecasting to measure aggregate migration rates at the neighborhood level by household characteristics.

SUMMIT

ISSUE 08

FAMILY OFFICES AND ESG

By Kate Pennartz Partner Squire Patton Boggs

Rebekah Singh Senior Associate Squire Patton Boggs As sustainable investing continues to grow in popularity, family offices have taken note—and understanding ESG targets and regulations will be key for long-term performance.

As sustainable investing continues to grow in popularity, family offices have taken note. Investor surveys indicate that more and more respondents take the non-financial performance of a company into consideration in their investments, which include environmental, social and governance (ESG) strategies. Of the most important ESG criteria to investors, green building certification ranked as the top.¹

In addition to the voluntary green certifications available to companies, a number of regulatory entities have enacted or are in the process of enacting green build requirements. Here, we examine the background and future of green certifications and green build requirements, along with how such certifications and other ESG criteria can be factored into real estate investments.

US REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

Green certifications can serve to signify a building's environmental friendliness and stewardship. In the 1990s, the Building Research Establishment's Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM) rating system was established, which utilizes third-party certification to assess an asset's ESG performance.² This system was established in the UK but is recognized internationally for its ability to assess the environmental performance of both new and existing properties.

With respect to green building within the US, the US Green Building Council (USGBC) was established in 1993. By the late 1990s, USGBC had established its first version of a green building rating system for green building design, construction, and operation, which is known as Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED).³ The last few decades have seen an increase in green building certification popularity.

Over time, hundreds of different green certifications have been established. However, it is important to choose a legitimate, widely accepted and tailored green certification. Facilities seeking green certification should generally consider programs that are thirdparty certified, which means that an independent party with no interest or financial ties to the project has made the determination.

Furthermore, green certification programs that are most widely accepted include some type of ongoing verification of certification compliance. For example, LEED recertification allows a project previously certified under LEED to submit twelve months of data and receive recertification valid for three years. By encouraging recertification, these programs ensure that real estate continues to demonstrate environmental and energy sustainability over time. Notably, a decision on which green certification(s) to seek may largely be driven by which types of certifications investors deem important. One reason that the role of certification is often undertaken by third-party programs is that no centralized governmental agency in the US is tasked with determining green certification. Some states have established their own recognition programs for implementation of environmentally friendly and pollutionreducing activities. For example, the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) has established its "Encouraging Environmental Excellence (E3) Program," which recognizes Ohio businesses for their environmental stewardship.⁴ Under this program, businesses submit an application providing information on a number of criteria, including sustainable materials and purchasing, pollution prevention, energy efficiency, green building, and renewable energy.

states have established or are evolve, interested persons green building requirements. areas for risk and liability. gas (GHG) emissions to reach become mandatory. A change legislators and regulators economically and legally. believe that an important component of lowering GHG decarbonization. As a result, certification, such as LEED, Board (CARB) is focusing ensure that they maintain buildings," which includes advertise such certification statewide strategies for building without ensuring consistent requirements.

efficiency for New York and legal risks associated City buildings.⁶ In part, the with green certification and legislation establishes emissions green building. caps for buildings over 25,000 SF and roofs of smaller, new residential and non-residential buildings will be equipped with a solar photovoltaic system or a green roof.

Outside of these voluntary green As the regulatory scheme for certification programs, several green building continues to in the process of establishing need to be aware of potential For example, California For example, green building passed Senate Bill 32, which requirements may change over requires statewide greenhouse time and in some instances, may a level 40% below 1990 in the degree of regulation levels by 2030.⁵ California may impact a business both

With respect to the more emissions is through building voluntary programs for the California Air Resources interested parties need to on advancing "zero emission" such certification and do not standards codes, as well as and continued compliance. As encouraging a voluntary effort green regulations become more to go beyond mandatory code common, there is also a risk that these regulatory programs will contradict or be inconsistent Similarly, the New York City with those more voluntary Council passed legislation, programs. Due to the evolving known as the Climate nature of green standards, Mobilization Act, to reduce businesses should continue to GHGs and improve energy assess the economic, regulatory,

Due to the evolving nature of green standards, businesses should continue to assess the economic, regulatory, and legal risks associated with green certification and green building.

CONSIDERATIONS FOR OWNERS OF REAL ESTATE

can incorporate ESG in can incorporate ESG concepts a property's design and into individual tenant lease operation by obtaining green agreements in various ways. For certifications. Not only can instance, owners can require such certifications reduce that tenants cooperate with the maintenance costs for owners, owner/landlord in obtaining but certifications also attract and maintaining compliance certain prospective tenants and with the owner's green buyers who want to factor ESG into their investments.

In addition to certifications, ESG criteria can be tracked during the period of ownership of property by the selection of third-party property managers who will monitor the property in accordance with such criteria, whether by agreeing to minimum monitoring standards or via incentive fees to reward meeting set ESG targets. In addition to demonstrating that a property is well managed, Incorporating ESG into leases identifying and tracking ESG can be a win-win to both during the period of ownership landlords and tenants, as **NOTES** limits unforeseen risk at the time landlords can pass through of disposition of the property capital expenditure costs that could affect purchase on ESG projects or green prices. It follows, then, that certifications to tenants, while attention to sustainability efforts tenants can receive the benefit of

Aside from incentivizing the Increased state and local development of eco-friendly programs encouraging and/ buildings, the impact of ESG or requiring green building investing in the real estate requirements indicate the industry could even extend to rethinking the way that green building to owners communities are developed. of real estate assets. As real Affordable housing environmentally sustainable, tenants continue to consider well-connected neighborhoods a building's environmental have proven to deliver stewardship and sustainability impressive returns to investors, efforts, green certification, in and indicate the potential for a addition to other ESG criteria, new, and profitable, approach to urban development.⁸

long-term.⁷

Owners of real estate assets Additionally, real estate owners certifications, and, increasingly, regarding laws carbon neutrality for buildings. The owner/landlord may require that tenants participate in a recycling program or have each tenants' utilities sub-metered to monitor usage. Leases may even contain use restrictions that range from prohibiting gas ABOUT THE AUTHORS stations in retail shopping center developments to requiring office tenants to use only "clean" drycleaning services.

could increase property values the cost-savings on their utility or operating expense payments.

> growing importance of and estate owners, buyers, and will be at the forefront of investment decisions.

Affordable housing and environmentally sustainable, well-connected neighborhoods have proven to deliver impressive returns to investors

Kate Pennartz is a Partner and Rebekah Singh is a Senior Associate for Squire Patton Boggs, a full-service global law firm providing insight at the point where law, business, and government meet.

- ¹ Wealth Management Real Estate, "10 Takeaways from AFIRE's 2021 International Investor Survey," WealthManagement.com, last modified May 04, 2021, https://www. wealthmanagement.com/investment-strategies/10-takeaways-afire-s-2021-international investor-survey
- ² BREEAM, "Building Research Establishment's Environmental Assessment Method," BREEAM, accessed October 24, 2021, https://www.breeam.com/
- US Green Building Council, "US Green Building Council," USGBC, Accessed October 24, 2021, https://www.usgbc.org/.
- ⁴ Ohio EPA, "Encouraging Environmental Excellence (E3) Program," Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Accessed October 24, 2021, https://www.epa.state.oh.us/ocapp/ ohioe3.
- ⁵ California Air Resources Board, "Building Decarbonization: About," California Air Resources Board, Accessed October 24, 2021, https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/ programs/building-decarbonization/about
- ⁶ New York City Council, "Climate Mobilization Act," New York City Council, Accessed October 24, 2021, https://council.nvc.gov/data/green/
- Francisco Da Cunha and Filipa Belchior Coimbra, "The Impact of Social Good on Real Estate," Deloitte Real Estate Predictions 2021, Accessed October 24, 2021, https:// www2.deloitte.com/ce/en/pages/real-estate/articles/the-impact-of-social-good-on-realestate.html.
- Steven Desmyter, "What if ESG Could Solve the Global Housing Crisis?" Forbes, last inodified May 25, 2021, https://www.forbes.com/sites/stevendesmyter/2021/05/25/what if-esg-could-solve-the-global-housing-crisis/?sh=3e2ca18e1201.

63

DOWNTOWN DISRUPTION



The pandemic-driven changes to downtown areas and central business districts are changing the geography of institutional investment. What else changes because of this?

As the US continues to muddle through the COVID-19 pandemic, The "evidence" from a pandemic As institutional investors downtowns and central business districts (CBDs) have emerged as the urban and metropolitan geographies most vulnerable to future. The McKinsey Global shifting dynamics, it is best to structural changes in where and how Americans work.

By all accounts, the rise of remote work and the broadening of the term "flexible work" appear to be permanent rather than temporary phenomena; structural rather than cyclical. It is now commonplace to acknowledge that the loss of office workersand prospect of empty office buildings-threatens the long-term fiscal health of many cities, the small businesses that depend on office workers, and the vitality of America's downtowns.

By Bruce Katz Founding Director, Nowak Metro Finance Lab Drexel University

Frances Kern Mennone Managing Director FBT Project Finance Advisors

Senior Consultant **Right2Win Cities**

still underway paints a disruptive struggle to make sense of these Institute's "Future of Work After look beyond the simplicity of COVID-19" report estimates shock headlines and re-discover that 20-25% of the workforce the complexities that define could work remotely in the America's downtowns as well future.1 A plethora of media as other urban and suburban articles and business surveys districts which increasingly report how companies large combine a mix of uses including and small are embracing hybrid work, residential, education, work models, enabling their research, commercialization, employees to work remotely part entertainment, waterfront or of the time. A recent article from other amenities, and distinctive the New York Times-"Why retail and restaurant choicesthe Empire State Building, and uses typically associated with New York, May Never Be the downtown areas. Same"²—is the common meme for business and general media alike; just change the moniker of the building and the name of the city and you get the emerging individual US downtowns rather conventional wisdom.

Such an inquiry forces investors to look at the distinctive market realities that define than group all downtowns (particularly those located in a small subset of cities) in one narrowly drawn asset class. The end result may be that the pandemic may compel an expansion of institutional investment to a broader set of uses and geographies in a broader set of cities.

DOWNTOWNS ARE NOT UNIFORM

Despite a common label, America's downtowns are an intensely Targeted public, philanthropic, varied group of similarly situated districts. As the New York corporate, and university Times recently reported, the share of downtowns that is occupied investment has also played by office uses varies from 83% in Boston, 74% in San Francisco, an enormous role in the and 72% in Washington, DC to 30% in Nashville, 25% in transformation of downtowns St. Petersburg, and 19% in San Diego.³ Most downtowns in the over the decades. Dan Gilbert's country have undergone a dramatic transformation over the past decision to move Quicken Loans sixty years; first, radical decline as populations suburbanized and employment decentralized, then, rebound and revival fueled the core of downtown Detroit by shifting location preferences, changing cultural dynamics, in 2007 started a revival that and declining crime. As Emily Badger and Quoctrung Bui recently wrote:

"Downtowns, like investment portfolios, are more sustainable when they are diverse. [...] CoStar data going back to 2006 shows that many big-city downtowns have been evolving away from strictly office space, adding college dorms, apartment buildings, and civic attractions. Cities where 'downtown' has increasingly come to mean more than offices are likely to be more resilient as they emerge from the pandemic, researchers and downtown officials say."4 (and his family of companies) to continues to this day. Duke University is widely credited with acting as the stimulus for the rebirth of downtown Durham; the same can be said of Arizona State University in downtown Phoenix. Similar moves by local investors can be found in downtowns as disparate as Cincinnati, Cleveland, Erie, St. Louis, and Tampa.⁵



Electric Works, Fort Wayne, Indiana

THE RISE OF INNOVATION DISTRICTS

Downtowns are not the only geography of employment density in cities and metropolitan areas. Over the past twenty years, innovation districts have organically emerged near advanced research institutions and health care centers. The Brookings Institution defines these districts as

"Geographic areas where leading-edge anchor institutions and companies cluster and connect with start-ups, business incubators, and accelerators. They are also physically compact, transit-accessible, technically wired, and offer mixed-use housing, office, and retail."6

These districts reflect the innovation economy's demand for colocation, proximity, and density so that companies, researchers, and entrepreneurs can share ideas rather than invent in isolation. It is doubtful that the pandemic has disrupted the innovation dividend associated with such co-location. The most advanced districts are in midtown areas such as Midtown Atlanta (near Georgia Department of Treasury, Small Tech), University City in Philadelphia (near Drexel University Business and the University of Pennsylvania), and Cortex in St. Louis (a collaboration of Barnes Jewish Hospital, St. Louis University, and Washington University). However, the un-anchoring of anchor institutions such as Duke University and Arizona State University, described above, shows that "traditional" downtowns have the potential to evolve as innovation districts.



FEDERAL POLICY MATTERS

to harness unprecedented existing federal programs, such federal investments to mitigate as Historic Preservation Tax the damage from the pandemic Credits, Low Income Housing and accelerate the transition to Tax Credits, New Market a multi-use future. The federal Tax Credits, and Opportunity government is engaged in a Zones, which have historically multi-act, multi-dimensional been used to diversify uses effort to spur an equitable within downtowns. economic recovery. The US\$1.9 trillion American Rescue Plan enacted in March 2021, for example, provides flexible funds to states, cities, and counties (as well as resources via the Administration, and Economic Development Administration) that can be used to rebuild downtown economies and promote business and neighborhood equity.

legislative vehicles go even Tax Credit, the Revitalizing further. The US\$250 billion Downtowns Act would provide Innovation and Competition a credit equal to 20% of the Act, passed with bipartisan Qualified Conversion Expenses votes by the US Senate, in converting obsolete office would provide resources to buildings into residential, expand basic and applied institutional, hotel, or mixedresearch, STEM education, use properties. An obsolete and technology hubs. A US\$1 office structure is defined as a trillion+ infrastructure bill, also building that is at least twentypassed with bipartisan support five years old, and the bill by the US Senate, recommends requires 20% of the units in unprecedented investments in a residential conversion to be a broad array of infrastructure dedicated to affordable housing. assets including: transportation (e.g., roads and bridges, public transit, passenger and freight railways, airports, waterways, and ports), buildings and utilities (e.g., affordable housing, high speed broadband, electric grid, public schools). and disaster resilience.

Downtowns have the potential These investments are on top of

As federal legislation proceeds, there are even efforts to focus federal investments directly on downtown disruption. In an effort to revitalize downtown business and urban districts. Senators Debbie Stabenow (D-MI) and Gary Peters (D-MI), along with Representatives Jimmy Gomez (D-CA), Dan Kildee (D-MI), and John Larson (D-CT) have introduced the Revitalizing Downtowns Other moving or proposed Act. Modeled after the Historic

STATE AND LOCAL POLICY MATTERS

Beyond federal investments, states and municipalities also have a role to play through incentive programs such as TIF districts, tax abatements, and PILOT programs, all of which can be utilized to help downtowns and other urban districts rebound from pandemic disruption. Many states and localities, in particular, have specific programs to assist with adaptive reuse of historic structures. For example, North Carolina's Mill Credit program made it feasible to redevelop 1.2 million SF of former R.J. Reynolds Tobacco factory buildings in Winston-Salem, thereby preserving these beautiful buildings while providing a unique sense of place for the Innovation Quarter. Similar programs have been successfully employed in Durham, NC; Providence, RI; Pittsburgh, PA; and Cleveland, OH.

WHAT THIS ALL MEANS

institutional investments in downtowns and CBDs. Pre-crisis, Finance Lab at Drexel University. Frances Kern Mennone these investments tended to be over-concentrated in a narrow group of asset classes in a small subset of US cities.

Post-crisis market dynamics should place a premium on downtowns and other parts of cities that have a broader mix of uses and activities, including innovation-oriented co-location NOTES of research institutions, mature companies, and start-ups and scale ups. In doing so, investors would be wise to examine the "good bones" of downtowns in secondary and tertiary cities that have not been the traditional focus of institutional investment. Investors should also track the flow of federal investments that are likely to leverage the distinctive competitive assets and advantages of these places. This will require a commitment to robust market analysis that captures the full growth potential of a broad, geographically diverse set of CBDs, and effectively reimagine the future of "downtown."



Tepper School of Business, Carnegie Mellon Innovation District, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

The COVID-19 pandemic could have major implications for Bruce Katz is the Founding Director of the Nowak Metro is Managing Director for FBT Project Finance Advisors, a registered municipal advisor.

Susan L., Anu M., James M., Sven S., Kweilin E., and Olivia R., "The Future of Work After COVID-19," McKinsey Global Institute (2021), https://www.mckinsey.com/ featured-insights/future-of-work/the-future-of-work-after-covid-19

² Keith Collins, Nikolas Diamant, Peter Eavis, Or Fleisher, Matthew Haag, Barbara Harvey, Lingdong Huang, Karthik Patanjali, Miles Peyton, and Rumsey Taylor, "Why the Empire State Building, and New York, May Never Be the Same," New York Times, September 15, 2021. https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2021/09/15/nyregion/empire state-building-reopening-new-york.html (Accessed September 25, 2021).

Emily Badger and Quoctrung Bui, "The Downtown Office District Was Vulnerable. Even Before Covid," New York Times, July 7, 2021. https://www.nytimes.com/ interactive/2021/07/07/upshot/downtown-office-vulnerable-even-before-covid.html (Accessed July 12, 2021).

⁴ Badger, "The Downtown Office District Was Vulnerable. Even Before Covid."

⁵ See Also: Bruce Katz, Jennifer S. Vey, and Julie Wagner, "One Year After: Observations on the Rise of Innovation Districts," Brookings Institution, 2015, https://www.brookings. edu/research/one-year-after-observations-on-the-rise-of-innovation-districts/; Bruce Katz, Karen Black, and Luise Noring, "Cinncinati's Over-the-Rhine," Nowak Metro Finance Lab, 2019, https://drexel.edu/nowak-lab/publications/case-studies/cincinnati-city-case/; Bruce Katz and Jennifer Bradley, The Metropolitan Revolution: How Cities and Metros are Fixing Our Broken Politics and Fragile Economy (Washington, DC: Brookings Institution, 2014).

Bruce Katz and Julie Wagner, "The Rise of Innovation Districts: A New Geography of Innovation in America," Brookings Institution, June 1, 2009, https://www.brookings.edu/ essav/rise-of-innovation-districts/

Post-crisis market dynamics should place a premium on downtowns and other parts of cities that have a broader mix of uses and activities, research institutions, mature companies, and start-ups



CHOOSING FLEXIBILITY



Employees are increasingly demanding flexibility and choice for where (and when) they work. What strategies can landlords implement to adapt?

COVID-19 pandemic, the offering this type of flexibility negative sentiment regarding for many years and have the prospects of office enjoyed a tremendous growth properties was influenced by over the past decade.³ In the lockdowns, empty offices, and early stages of their evolution, a better-than-expected short- coworking companies were term outcome of the work- focused on the membership from-home (WFH) experiment. model. Landlords perceived However, with a prolonged these companies as saviors WFH period, the negative because they typically leased aspects of this model as a full- otherwise less desirable space time approach have become in older office properties or on evident and have balanced lower floors.⁴ When coworking the consensus.¹

Employees yearn for a higher degree of flexibility and choice in higher-end properties to when it comes to time spent in the office, compared to working remotely. Because of this, more employers are adapting a hybrid work model in which in-office and remote work is split. While the level of flexibility will vary by industry and company, in aggregate, demand for flexibility from office users is set to rise.²

companies increased their focus on the enterprise model and began to sublease space

larger corporate clients, it developed a conflict of interest for landlords. As a result, these landlords increasingly consider such flex office providers as competitors.5

By Tal Peri Head of US East Coast and Latin America Union Investment Real Estate, New York

In the early months of the Coworking providers have been EXHIBIT 1: TOTAL FLEXIBLE OFFICE INVENTORY (US AND CANADA)

Source: CBRE Research, Q2 2020



To navigate a higher demand for flexibility, landlords have a wide range of strategies at their disposal:

1. TRADITIONAL LEASES WITH COWORKING PROVIDERS

occupancy of 5.3 million SF.6 providers occupied around Manhattan's office stock, and than half of that.

and disadvantages that came with these direct leases. Long-term liabilities for coworking providers with short-term income results in a duration mismatch that elevates bankruptcy and renegotiation special purpose vehicles with their lending base. very limited or no credit support.

Traditional lease agreements In the rare case of a parent guarantee, the entire lease liability with coworking companies was not covered, and was depleted over the first couple years provided landlords with some of the term. The landlord expectation, or hope, was that larger sense of security for their future coworking providers would not abandon selective locations to cash flow via long-term leases avoid reputational risk. This hope did not materialize; even with fixed rent payments—as the largest global coworking providers—Regus and WeWork well as benefitting from a strong abandoned a meaningful subset of their traditional leases and leasing market fueled by the rise renegotiated many into partnership agreements. Throughout of coworking. For example, in the pandemic, several flex providers in Manhattan returned 2018, these leases accounted 5 million SF to their landlords, representing almost 30% of for 11% of Manhattan's leasing the island's pre-pandemic coworking inventory. With that, activity, rendering WeWork the Manhattan's coworking share decreased from its 2019 peak of largest private office tenant in 3.8% to its current 2.9%. However, in Q1 2021 alone, WeWork that market, with an overall signed agreements for 1.2 million SF of new flex space.

By the end of 2019, coworking Further, enterprise usage creates direct competition with vacant spaces within the same or competing buildings. An increasing 18 million SF (3.8%) of number of troubled coworking providers are either closing locations; trying to lease their vacant floors to enterprise tenants WeWork accounted for more who could be direct landlord tenants; or adding their vacant spaces on the traditional sublease market. This activity is so prevalent that brokers are now tracking direct vacancy, sublease vacancy, Still, there were some risks and more recently, shadow vacancy from coworking companies.⁸

As bankruptcy risk is amplified with an increased share of coworking leases within a building or portfolio, the pre-pandemic consensus was that office buildings with more than 20% of coworking exposure are gradually experiencing a price discount for this portion of their income.9 Recently, investors and lenders are scrutinizing coworking exposure even more. For instance, risks for owners.7 Most lease at the height of the lockdown, some lenders even fully agreements were structured as disregarded coworking income as a conservative base case for



2. PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS WITH **COWORKING PROVIDERS**

Partnership agreements allow landlords to participate in the upside in exchange for (a) covering most or all of the upfront tenant improvements and (b) limiting the downside risk of fixed long-term lease obligations for the coworking partner.¹² These structures are evolving and range from complex profit-sharing agreements, simple revenue-sharing agreements similar to percentage rents in the retail sector, to management agreements on a fee basis. These arrangements decrease the conflict of interest between the two partners. There is a clear consensus that partnership agreements will continue to make up a larger share of the coworking arrangements. On a relative basis, Industriousanother leading coworking company-has been a trend leader in this regard, with 75% of its portfolio structured as partnership agreements.³

EXHIBIT 2: TRADITIONAL LEASES AND MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS AT INDUSTRIOUS

Source: Industrious; H1 2020



Still, many institutional landlords are reluctant to convert traditional leases with coworking companies into partnership agreements. Mostly because of lower predictability of the net cash flow, the risk participation—which can be significant especially during downturns-and the consequent uncertainty surrounding (Mandarin Oriental, CitizenM, the negative valuation impact and tradability of those agreements.

Sales comparisons that include partnership agreements are rare, and the details of the underlying agreements are only visible to a small group of potential investors who signed confidentiality agreements during the marketing process. Furthermore, the appraisal community is constantly playing the "catch-up game" with the speed of new contracts, credit risk, and other aspects that influence the value of such arrangements.

Office owners with a sizable portfolio within a market or country can create their own flex space provider under a self-run private brand.

3. CREATE-YOUR-OWN FLEX PROVIDER

2019

portfolio within a market or own flexible space offerings, self-run private brand. Some alternatively incorporate that high-profile examples are offering into their amenity by BXP (Boston Properties), tenants within the building. for this model seems significant spaces provide flexibility for considering that Tishman existing tenants during shorttheir flex offerings could and renovations. eventually make up 20% of their office portfolio.³

Aside from office landlords, other sectors are expanding their flex office offerings. The list of providers continues to grow and include hotel chains Scandic), residential developers (Avalon Bay, Greystar, Hanover), shopping malls, department stores (Saks Fifth Avenue and WeWork, which formed SaksWorks), large broker houses (Newmark took over Knotel, CBRE increased their investment in Industrious, Cushman partnered up with WeWork), and companies such as Office Depot, Starbucks and other chain establishments.

Office owners with a sizable When landlords create their country can create their own they don't necessarily need to flex space provider under a use their own brand; they can Studio (Tishman Speyer), Flex space and limit the usage to Flex+ (Irvine Company), Space+ Especially for larger properties (Washington REIT), and Hines like Vornado's Penn Plaza Squared (Hines). The potential buildings in Manhattan, these Speyer, for example, estimates term expansions, contractions,

4. EMBRACE SHORTER LEASE TERMS AND SPEC SUITES

While landlords desire secure, To reduce downtime for However, spec suites and enticed to embrace shorter while for flexibility.¹¹

long-term leases and predictable landlords and increase the other aforementioned concepts cash flows that in turn promise availability speed to the directly compete with sublease to create a strong valuation, occupiers, owners are also availability, which has the trend of increased demand increasingly pre-building office increased significantly during for flexibility has made these space within their properties. the pandemic. While sublease criteria somewhat harder-but These so-called spec suites availability has started to not impossible-to achieve. further satisfy occupiers' desires decline, it is still at an extremely As such, landlords may be for lower capex investments elevated level of over 20 million lease terms to alleviate some of improvement costs for landlords inventory.¹⁹ This competition the advantages that occupiers via economies of scale in the is meaningful, as the space is typically seek from coworking buildout process. With spec already built out and available providers. Owners can offer suites, owners can also use a in various sizes and lease these shorter terms at higher more generic, but modern fit-out duration. Even if sublease space net effective rents in return design that many spec occupiers requires a more individualized would find attractive, further negotiation, offers a more reducing re-tenanting costs. uneven build-out quality, and a With this strategy, landlords are lower level of service, it can be especially aiming to recapture an attractive alternative for endthe decrease in direct leases uses. And in certain instances, with smaller tenants of 10,000 especially during a recession, SF or less.12

reducing tenant SF (4.4%) of Manhattan's office the discount on the sublease rental rate could reach 30%.¹³

EXHIBIT 3: LEASING OUTPERFORMANCE; SHARE OF NEW LEASING IN BUILDINGS BUILT OR RENOVATED IN 2016 OR LATER

Source: Cushman & Wakefield Research



BUILDINGS/RENOVATED IN 2016 AND LATER

BUILT/RENOVATED IN 2016 AND LATER

5. OWN HIGHER QUALITY BUILDINGS

In this evolved landscape, The countless options and Another core defensive strategy landlords must navigate various seemingly limitless offerings for landlords is to focus on strategies based on their by various landlords and flex higher quality assets. These preference for risk exposure providers illustrate the common buildings have shown resilience and operational engagement. belief in a significant increase in by attracting desirable, strong-There is no one-size-fits-all demand for flexibility and also credit tenants with longapproach and every owner must underscores the fragmentation term leases-even during decide how much exposure to of the market.²¹ Landlords are the pandemic. For example, internal or external coworking well-advised to take flex demand Vornado Realty Trust, one of providers. But recent closings seriously, but their desire for Manhattan's largest owners and market corrections in that long-term leases is deeply rooted of trophy office properties, space show that the recession in how these leases impact reported an average lease risk for those business models valuation and sale prices. are real, despite the early signs and the market expectation of an even stronger demand for flex offerings, once the pandemic is behind us.

The countless options and seemingly limitless offerings by various landlords and flex providers illustrate the common belief in a significant increase in demand for flexibility and also underscores the fragmentation of the market.

term of 14.4 years for their Manhattan leasing activity in 2020-which included the fifteen-year Facebook lease at the Fairley Building and NYU's long-term lease extension at One Park Avenue. This trend continued in 2021, where for instance SL Green continued to secure additional leasing activity during the pandemic and reached 91% occupancy for their trophy office tower One Vanderbilt - with some of the lease rates even breaking through the \$200/SF barrier.14 But this trend goes beyond trophy assets. Over the last five years, CBD leasing activity in newer assets (built or renovated in 2016 or later) accounted for 25.3%, while their inventory share is just 14.4%, representing a factor of 1.7x. Additionally, these assets demanded a 35.2% rent premium over the past two years. So while older assets experienced an average rent decrease of 9.7%, newer assets generated a 4.9% rent increase.15

EXHIBIT 4: RENT PREMIUMS; CLASS A DIRECT NEW LEASES WITH 7+ YEARS OF TERM

Source: Cushman & Wakefield Research

OLD ASSETS NEW ASSETS NEW CONSTRUCTION PREMIUM



Additionally, these high-end assets experience higher-thanaverage rent collection, as the respective tenants generally continue their rent payments despite low physical occupancy or sublease activity.¹⁶

Overall, there is a strong business case that owning highly amenitized trophy assets in desirable locations is crucial to the health of the investment portfolio throughout the economic cycle, and even partially protects against an increased demand for flexibility.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Tal Peri is Head of US East Coast and Latin America for Union Investment Real Estate, Germany's largest open-ended real estate fund with a global AUM of US\$57 billion.

NOTES

¹Liz Fosslien and Mollie West Duffy, "How to Combat Zoom Fatigue," Harvard Business Review, last modified April 29, 2020, https://hbr.org/2020/04/how-to-combat-zoom-fatigue.

² See also: CBRE, "Five Global Themes Influencing the Future of Office: 2021 Occupier Sentiment Survey," CBRE, last modified February 7, 2021, https://www.cbre.com/ insights/articles/the-future-of-the-office; Gensler Research Institute, "US Workplace Survey 2020," Gensler, Accessed October 25 2021, https://www.gensler.com/gri/usworkplace-survey-2020-summer-fall; JLL, "The Impact of COVID-19 on Flexible Space," JLL, Accessed October 25, 2021, https://www.jll.de/content/dam/jll-com/documents/pdf/ articles/covid-19-and-flexible-space-report.pdf; JLL, Global Workforce Expectations are Shifting Due to COVID-19, JLL, last modified November 17, 2020, https://www.us.jll. com/en/trends-and-insights/research/global-workforce-expectations-shifting-due-tocovid-19; Susan Lund, Anu Madgavkar, James Manyika, and Sven Smit, "What's Next for Remote Work: An Analysis of 2,000 tasks, 800 jobs, and Nine Countries," McKinsey Global Institute, last modified November 23, 2020, https://www.mckinsey.com/featuredinsights/future-of-work/whats-next-for-remote-work-an-analysis-of-2000-tasks-800jobs-and-nine-countries.

³ CBRE, The End of the Beginning, CBRE, Accessed October 25, 2021, https://www.cbre. com/-/media/files/the-way-forward/the-end-of-the-beginning-north-america-flexibleoffice-market-in-2020/north-america-flex-office-2020-1.pdf.

⁴ "Flexible Space Solutions," JLL Research, accessed October 25, 2021, https://www.us.jll.com/en/flexible-space.

 $^{\scriptscriptstyle 5}$ Green Street, s.v. "Property Insights: The Co-Working Impact," November 2017, https://www.greenstreet.com.

⁶ Newmark Group, New York City Office Market Overview, Accessed October 25, 2021, https://f.tlcollect.com/fr2/521/79140/4Q20_Office_Market_Overview-_1.19.21.pdf.

⁷ Green Street, s.v. "The Co-Working Impact"

Christa Dilalo, Riley McMullan, Brandon Labord, and Leah Hays, "Atlanta Supply Study: Office," Cushman and Wakefield Research, 2020, https://f.datasrvr.com/ fr1/820/73185/Atlanta_Supply_Study_Office_FINAL.pdf

⁹ Revathi Greenwood and David Smith, "Coworking and Flexible Office Space," Cushman and Wakefield, last modified August 21, 2018, https://www.cushmanwakefield.com/en/ united-states/insights/2018-coworking-report.

¹⁰ US Securities and Exchange Commission, "Form S-1 Registration Statement," US Securities and Exchange Commission, August 14, 2019, https://www.sec.gov/Archives/ edgar/data/1533523/000119312519220499/d781982ds1.htm.

¹¹ Newmark, New York City Office Market Overview; Tom Acitelli, "COVID Dings the Conventional 10-Year Office Lease in Manhattan," Commercial Observer, December 1, 2020, https://commercialobserver.com/2020/12/office-lease-lengths-covid/

¹² CBRE, The End of the Beginning.

¹³ Newmark, New York City Office Market Overview.

¹⁴ Joe Lovinger, "SL Green Asking Record \$322 PSF at One Vanderbilt," The Real Deal, July 21, 2021, https://therealdeal.com/2021/07/21/sl-green-asking-record-322-psf-atone-vanderbilt/.

⁵ Kevin Thorpe, David Smith, Andrew Phipps, Dominic Brown, Adam Jacobs, David Bitner, and Rebecca Rockey, Predicting the Return to the Office, Cushman & Wakefield, Accessed October 25, 2021, https://cushwake.cld.bz/Predicting-the-Returnto-the-Office.

¹⁶ "SEC Filings," Vornado Realty Trust, Accessed October 25, 2021, https://investors.vno. com/sec-filings-other-reports/sec-filings/. Overall, there is a strong business case that owning highly amenitized trophy assets in desirable locations is crucial to the health of the investment portfolio throughout the economic cycle, and even partially protects against an increased demand for flexibility.



TALENT PARITY



To be better prepared for future risks, firms need diverse talent. So is the goal of 50% female representation achievable in global real estate investment and asset management firms?

Senior HR managers in global investment and asset management A COUPLE OF POINTS BECAME CLEAR EARLY firms agree that retaining and developing female talent is important but aren't yet clear on how best to achieve it.

search, talent advisory, and interim consulting firm where I serve as Director within the Global Real Assets Practice, hosted a roundtable discussion with senior HR managers within leading global real estate investment and asset management companies. The speakers shared the trends they were seeing within their firms regarding gender diversity before discussing the challenges of adapting to hybrid working and talking through examples of how else they have attempted to foster female talent.

By Isabel Ruiz Halter Director, Global Real Assets Practice Sheffield Haworth

IN THE DISCUSSION:

In Summer 2021, Sheffield Haworth, a leading global executive Increased gender diversity-and, in particular, having more women in decision-making roles-has helped real estate investment firms to become more profitable by increasing productivity and innovation, strengthening team dynamics, and reducing staff turnover. Therefore, there is not just a social reason to retain and develop female talent, but also a strong commercial case.

> Firms want to achieve the target of 50% female representation at senior, middle, and junior levels, but this may be a slow processand it is not yet clear how hybrid working may impact this goal.

COVID IMPACTED WOMEN LESS THAN EXPECTED

Despite multiple studies suggesting the pandemic has impacted women worse than men in the general economy, this seems not to have been the case within the private markets and real estate sectors. Speakers in our forum reported that their firms had seen no specific rise in women being made redundant or choosing to leave work.

That said, lockdowns and remote working loosened the bonds between teams due to lack of face- to-face contact. Most firms are now looking ahead to hybrid working and ways to successfully promote company culture.

5()/5

PROS AND CONS OF HYBRID WORKING FOR SENIOR LEADERSHIP TEAMS

Many firms see hybrid working as way to foster flexibility, trust, and high productivity amongst staff. Having some time in the office should help to restore collaboration and team spirit, while boosting engagement. Firm leaders also see hybrid working as a means to become more attractive to top talent—including female talent, as many women favor flexibility, especially if they are working parents.

However, the speakers did say that many firms are apprehensive about how the hybrid model will work in practice. Some are worried that allowing employees to stay working from home may exacerbate the problem of employees not feeling engaged.

POSSIBLE CHALLENGES OF HYBRID WORKING FOR WOMEN

particularly those who value children, the risk is that men The general feeling appears to spend less time in the office.¹ career over those who spend across the industry. more time working from home.

The speakers added that the Statistically, given that working hybrid model could prove mothers are most likely to want negative for many women— more time at home to look after the opportunity to spend more are more likely to spend more time working from home. time in the office while women be that those who spend the The result could be that women most time in the office will be lose out on career progression most likely to get preferential opportunities, hampering the treatment and progress their development of female talent

> At the same time, for working mothers, spending some time in the office and some at home could make it more difficult to arrange childcare, unless the specific days are fixed. Flexibility and reliable childcare do not always align.

Statistically, given that working mothers are most likely to want more time at home to look after children, the risk is that men are more likely to spend more time in the office while women spend less time in the office.¹

HOW ARE FIRMS APPROACHING HYBRID WORKING?

Speakers expressed that firms were being overly negative about blending work from home and office, likely due to the apprehension and uncertainty with which real estate firms regard hybrid working. One said that it was important for senior leaders, middle managers, and junior employees equally to approach hybrid working with a positive mindset, as a negative mindset would make failure more likely.

On a practical level, firms have been testing a variety of approaches to hybrid working:

- Some firms have started hybrid working as of September 2021, while others are waiting until January 2022.
- Larger firms tend to give their business heads and managers more flexibility over how to implement hybrid working (for example, how many days per week to expect their staff to come to the office).
- Smaller firms tend towards taking a more top-down "directive" approach, with the most common approach being to ask employees to work in the office three days per week, with the days being fixed.

Most attendees and speakers agreed that commitment to returning to the office is important to mitigate the potential inequality between men and women, as well as to help support junior analysts, who will likely benefit most by learning from more senior employees.

Some firms are asking their employees to take lateral flow tests for COVID-19 and, while some US firms are making vaccination mandatory before returning to the office, UK and European firms are largely not following this lead. Some companies are, however, requiring employees to fill out a health form every day unless they have been double-vaccinated. The thinking is that younger employees are less likely to be vaccinated and may need more And more broadly, during lockdowns, several firms set up online monitoring or supervision.

Most companies agree that they won't implement a fully remote working model. McKinsey recently performed an analysis on remote working and how it affects different industries, stating:

"More employers have found during the pandemic that although some tasks can be done remotely in a crisis, they are much more effectively done in person. These activities include coaching, counseling, and providing advice and feedback; building customer and colleague relationships; bringing new employees into a company; negotiating and making critical decisions, among others."²



WHAT ELSE ARE FIRMS DOING TO SUPPORT THEIR FEMALE TALENT?

Besides hybrid working, the speakers discussed other initiatives real estate firms have been trying to help support women, with a particular focus on parents.

For example, many companies have updated their maternity and paternity policies to allow for more flexibility. During lockdown, several companies provided access to psychologists and coaches to help parents handle home schooling while working, and to face the mental-health challenges of being a parent during a pandemic. Many of these companies are continuing this kind of coaching going forward, such as offering support to parents for returning to the workplace.

Other initiatives to support parents with younger children include a maternity 'buddy' system and setting up parents' resource groups.

groups for employee networking, such as "Friday clubs," which aimed to offer a fun, social outlet to help relieve the pressures of lockdown. The speakers felt that this social dynamic could be particularly helpful for women, and that having intentionally designed employee groups focused on promoting relationship building and facilitating honest, candid conversations, was key to foster the team dynamic during remote working-especially for working women.

Some companies are providing training to employees returning to the office, in part to help improve engagement and business discipline. Courses around how to have more productive meetings, collaborate more effectively or leadership development programs. Speakers felt this would be beneficial to women because it allows them to share best practices, improve their confidence and ultimately it makes succession planning easier.

WHAT ARE REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT FIRMS DOING TO DEVELOP MID-LEVEL FEMALE LEADERS?

As in many industries, the real estate sector has seen increased gender diversity in graduate recruitment, as well as more women in senior leadership roles. The speakers noted that their biggest challenge is developing women with around ten years of experience into middle management, where they remain particularly underrepresented. Here, the speakers discussed several initiatives, including:

- Mentorship programs, both formal and informal, including one-to-one mentoring where ambitious female employees are matched to appropriate senior mentors by HR.
- Discussion groups, both those organized by companies and informal ones set up by employees, focusing on topics such as networking, negotiating, and building confidence.
- Paying for online learning platforms from third parties on useful skills—a particularly cost- effective option for smaller firms with fewer resources.
- Motivational coaching focused on middle-management skills, behaviors, and mindsets.
- Investing in personal development of female talent and incentivizing senior managers to spot and develop female talent.



LOTS OF IDEAS, BUT WHERE TO GO FROM HERE?

Real estate investment companies agree on the importance of developing female talent. However, while the discussion highlighted several initiatives firms had already taken and were considering taking, there was no clear consensus on what works or is likely to work most effectively.

The main reason for this lack of consensus is the lack of data to prove what works, especially given the destabilizing effect of the pandemic and the uncertainty around how hybrid working may affect women in particular. At the end of our Summer 2021 roundtable discussion, attendees and speakers alike agreed that the desire to succeed was genuine, and firms were willing to try new ideas, and so agreed to organize a follow-up roundtable to focus on tangible, practical solutions.

The answer to the question of whether 50% representation is achievable for women in real estate is ultimately dependent on who you ask. However, the senior HR managers from our own view in the real estate sector believe this goal is achievable, and is actively committed to finding workable solutions. It will be exciting to see what comes next.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Isabel Ruiz Halter is a Director in the Global Real Assets Practice at Sheffield Haworth, a leading global executive search, talent advisory, and interim consulting firm.

NOTES

¹ See also: Lindsey Trimble O'Connor and Erin A. Cech, "Not Just a Mothers' Problem: The Consequences of Perceived Workplace Flexibility Bias for All Workers," Sociological Perspectives 61, no. 5 (Fall 2018): 808-829, https://doi.org/10.1177/0731121418768235; Alexandra Samuel and Tara Robertson, "Don't Let Hybrid Work Set Back Your DEI Efforts," Harvard Business Review, October 13, 2021, https://hbr.org/2021/10/dont-lethybrid-work-set-back-your-dei-efforts.

² Susan Lund, Anu Madgavkar, James Manyika, and Sven Smit, "What's Next for Remote Work: An Analysis of 2,000 Tasks, 800 Jobs, and Nine Countries," McKinsey Global Institute, November 23, 2020, https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/ future-of-work/whats-next-for-remote-work-an-analysis-of-2000-tasks-800-jobs-andnine-countries. The answer to the question of whether 50% representation is achievable for women in real estate is ultimately dependent on who you ask. However, the senior HR managers from our own view in the real estate sector believe this goal is achievable.

PREDICTING THE CLIMATE FUTURE



CAN YOU GUESS WHAT THE TEN MOST POPULATED US NOW HERE IS A TOUGHER QUESTION: WHAT WILL **CITIES WERE IN THE YEAR 2000?**

were warm and with large job markets. Detroit in tenth, was a round out the 1900 top ten. city in decline but still servicing a healthy domestic auto industry.

By Rajeev Ranade Partner Climate Core Capital

Owen Woolcock Partner Climate Core Capital

We are all invested in the cities, assets, and infrastructure of tomorrow, even if we might not live to see the ten largest cities in 2100. But understanding climate change can get us closer.

BE AMERICA'S TEN LARGEST CITIES IN 2100?

New York and Los Angeles would be safe bets and come without In 1900, the five largest cities (in order) were New York, Chicago, hesitation. A moment's pause, and Chicago would come to mind. Philadelphia, St. Louis, and Boston. Each had intuitive reasons for Those who follow cities might remember that San Francisco and their dominance: coastal or river access, strong rail connections, San Jose are separate in most data; skip them and reason that trading advantages, culture, history, and even then, a lot of Houston and Philadelphia came next. The rest of the top ten would accumulated wealth in a select group of people and firms. And hardly surprise: Phoenix, San Diego, Dallas, and San Antonio all then Baltimore, Cleveland, Buffalo, San Francisco, Cincinnati

SO, WHAT HAPPENED OVER THE PAST HUNDRED YEARS?

To answer that, let's transport ourselves to the edge of a blackjack table in Las Vegas. When the sum of the current hand adds up to seventeen, an experienced gambler can calculate whether they will go over twenty-one if they ask for another card. The card coming next is still a random variable, and the gambler might lose; but their experience gives them a marginal advantage in making an educated prediction. Few of us are good at predicting the future, but we all try to gain marginal advantage wherever we can.

Why is the blackjack decision at seventeen so illustrative? Because the real estate universe is constantly evaluating (then betting on) the place-based features of every market. It might not be framed in this language often, but if you're investing in cities in any way, you're effectively making bets on that city's future; knowing a lot, but never enough to fully predict the card coming out of the hand.

WHAT'S CHANGED IN THE GAME?

expanded the comfort zone years to develop and will likely through ingenuity, discretionary kill many more people than outdoor activity, and, above other more dramatic types all, technology. The invention of disasters. Moreover, slowand mass proliferation of onset hazards are permanent, refrigeration, and heating, making the changes more dire ventilation, and air conditioning compared to a single disaster. (HVAC) systems had a monumental impact on life in the twentieth century, especially mean? It will force previously in equatorial and polar latitudes: high-demand locations to pay but the 70-80°F temperatures for adaptation or risk a slow, described above still represent difficult, and unequal process something referred to as the of divestment. Each market has "human niche."

to understand, because it's to catastrophic, depending on bigger than the mental model location. Investors need to ask: of the real estate industry. how much do I know about the Our ancestors located many markets I'm invested in, and cities in places that now must what is my risk appetite? contend with new physical risk, or well-known risks at a new scale of damage and cost. Most

"after" to imagine the risk. But a slow-onset hazard, such as extreme heat stress or air Humans have artificially pollution, takes months or

What do these new inputs specific, overlapping threats, and the science predicts scenarios This is an important point that range from nuisance-level

ISSUE 08

Modern humans are most comfortable between 70 -80°F (21°C–27°C), which is the range our ancestors lived in across several regions of Africa.

The climate scientists wanted to ask a simple question: when will other US cities begin to see the same number of 95°F (35°C) days that Death Valley saw from 1981–2010?

HOW HOT IS TOO HOT?

Now let's return to the question of what will be the ten largest American cities in 2100, and what does the story from 1900 to 2000 tell us?

St. Louis lost its place from 1900 to 2000 because of the advent of the automobile, changes in manufacturing, and globalization. Houston or Dallas might well lose their respective places from 2000 to 2100 not only because of the falling demand for fossil fuels, but also because it becomes too hot to live there.

As part of a research collaboration between leading climate data firm risQ, Climate Core Capital, and the Harvard Graduate School of Design, scientists are exploring the timescales on which many US cities are likely to experience the historical climate of Death Valley, California—the location of the hottest temperature recorded by humans on Earth, a staggering 130°F (54.4°C). With so much focus on this record, it is easy to forget Death Valley still records plenty of other temperatures. The climate scientists wanted to ask a simple question: when will other US cities begin to see the same number of 95°F (35°C) days that Death Valley saw from 1981–2010?

When lethal heat waves become a more common occurrence in warm southern cities, what will it really cost us?

EXHIBIT 1: HOUSTON AND DALLAS, PROJECTED DAYS ABOVE 100°F Source: Climate Core





a more common occurrence is the site of the hottest in warm southern cities, what temperature ever recorded will it really cost us? A joint by humans-134°F (56.9°C). collaboration between risQ, In July 2021 it recorded an Climate Core Capital and the average temperature of 118°F Harvard Graduate School of (47.7°C), the highest average Design examined the projected daily temperature observed on dates when the combination of Earth. From 1981-2010, Death heat and humidity will result Valley recorded an average of in a host of major US cities 161 95°F (35°C) days per year, experiencing the same average a temperature that risks severe number of 95°F (35°C) days as heat stress with just a few hours Death Valley recorded over the outdoors. The table to the right 1980-2010 period. Researchers shows when the combination compared these values against of temperature and relative the total residential real estate humidity will make a range of value of each market in present US cities feel like the historical day terms (Q4 2020), providing climate of Death Valley. a fresh lens on the capital at risk when millions will find it difficult to live as we do today in an increasingly warmer world.

When lethal heat waves become Death Valley National Park



EXHIBIT 2: THE DEATH VALLEY INDEX

	YEAR WHEN CITY COULD HIT DEATH VALLEY TEMPERATURE RANGE	HOW OLD A CHILD BORN IN 2021 WILL BE WHEN CITY HITS DEATH VALLEY TEMPATURE RANGE
ORLANDO	PRESENT	
HOUSTON	2021-2026	0-5
MIAMI	2021-2026	0-5
AUSTIN	2022-2027	1-6
ТАМРА	2024-2029	3-8
PHOENIX	2033-2038	12–16
DALLAS	2063-2068	42-47
LAS VEGAS	2080+	60+
LOS ANGELES	2080+	60+
ATLANTA	2080+	60+

The most telling early findings have been related to the sixth biggest city in 2000: Phoenix, AZ. The sunbelt hub will see 150 to 170 days per year with peak temperatures at or exceeding 95°F (35°C) from the mid 2030's. To put this figure into perspective: if a homeowner purchased during the pandemic in 2020-21, and took out a thirty-year mortgage, it will be too hot to be productive outside nearly half the year, halfway through their debt repayment schedule.

The best investors relate to the tenant or end user experience. Put yourself in the shoes of a Phoenix resident in 2036, with 150 to 170 days per year at or above 95°F (35°C). Will there be Little League tournaments on weekends? How might it change school timetables? What hours of the day in the warmer months will it be safe for occur? Who will keep up their the following questions: front gardens and lawns, and what plant species still thrive in those temperatures? What kind of health insurance products might need to be developed for heat stress, and might it be additional to a standard policy? Could the energy efficiency of your home or office be linked might be to cover you for personal health?

to talk down Phoenix, or sound like a harbinger of doom for a in 2100. real estate market that's seen remarkable growth in the last few decades. There are many other markets with similarly troubling data points. But it's worth putting yourself back at the blackjack table, knowing these facts (knowing that ABOUT THE AUTHORS you're holding cards adding to compensated for my risk?

HOW COULD THE NUMBERS CHANGE?

Investors who incorporate physical climate risks at the asset level will need to factor in new inputs on valuation and cap rates. An example is in the state of New York, which passed a net-zero carbon law in 2019 with specific building emissions targets for 2024 and 2030.

An asset manager might hold a Manhattan building in their portfolio that was below the 2024 target, but above the 2030 target, and surmise that improvements to comply are a waste of capital when they will have already sold the asset by 2030. This avoids the reality that the future buyer will look at the 2030 targets, and likely adjust their offer price accordingly.

Astute industry participants can't rule out the possibility that buyers develop a sophistication on climate risk in a short space of time and cap rates expand to reflect these new risks. Each asset will be different, and each market will be different, but to assume the status quo would be risky.

roadworks and maintenance to A new mental model for real estate investors should ask some of

- In the markets where I invest, will public and private stakeholders coordinate toward resilience? What are the signs this is occurring?
- Do investment time horizons align with my risk appetite?
- Should climate change frame my underwriting and perception on equity, debt, and the capital stack?
- to how willing an insurer How do I stay informed and engaged on evolving climate risks?

One thing is certain: the institutional investor community isn't going to stop playing blackjack, even if the variables are about None of these facts are meant to change. We are all invested in the cities, assets, and infrastructure of tomorrow, even if we might not live to see the ten largest cities

> It's increasingly crucial for investors of all sizes to incorporate climate change in their mental model, and with the best information available, invest with a marginal advantage.

seventeen), and asking a simple Rajeev Ranade and Owen Woolcock are Partners at Climate Core question: am I being adequately Capital, a real estate and alternative investment management firm focused on climate change and climate risk funds.

and cap rates.

Investors who incorporate physical climate risks at the asset level will need to factor in new inputs on valuation



ISSUE 07

ABOUT AFIRE

AFIRE is the association for international real estate investors focused on commercial property in the United States.

ABOUT SUMMIT JOURNAL

Established in 1988 as an essential forum for real estate investment thought leadership, AFIRE members gather throughout the year to help each other become Better Investors, Better Leaders, and Better Global Citizens through conversations, research, and analysis of real estate capital markets, cross-border issues, policy, economics, technology, and management.

Dialogue fostered by AFIRE is designed to help members gain competitive advantage through strategic and operational thought leadership, and to help improve the global real estate investment industry as a whole.

MEMBERSHIP

AFIRE membership is exclusive, granted by invitation only, and currently includes approximately 200 organizations from around the world representing institutional investors, investment managers, and service providers for real estate investing.

BENEFITS

AFIRE member companies are represented by delegates, such as C-suite and senior executives, and are able to access a wide range of benefits, including:

- Intimate and informative meetings and events
- In-depth analyses of US markets
- Executive education sessions and networking
- Participation in original surveys and collaborative research
- Capital markets analysis and data
- Members-only updates on legislation and tax issues
- Subscription to Summit, the official publication of AFIRE
- Original publications and thought leadership
- Membership directory and access to membership mobile app, AFIRE Global

General Inquiries

+1 202 312 1400 info@afire.org

Membership and Sponsorships Lexie Miller

+1 202 312 1403 lmiller@afire.org

Meetings and Events

Asmait Tewelde +1 202 312 1404 atewelde@afire.org

Media and Publications

Benjamin van Loon +1 202 312 1405 bvanloon@afire.org

TO LEARN MORE ABOUT MEMBERSHIP AT AFIRE, VISIT afire.org/membership

GUIDELINES

Summit seeks original articles, research, and critical analysis of real estate capital markets, cross-border issues, policy, economics, technology, and management from contributors involved in any aspect of the real estate industry.

Authors sign a publication agreement that grants non-exclusive rights to all content, meaning that AFIRE and its authors can freely reproduce and distribute their own content.

Summit articles must be written in English and are limited to a maximum length of 1,500 words. (Citations and endnotes may also be included and do not count towards the final word count.)

Summit encourages the use of graphics, charts, and tables to illustrate submissions. The editors assume that the contributor owns the right to have the graphics reproduced. Such assets should be sent as separate, standalone files (not embedded within Word documents), and can be presented as EPS, TIFF, JPG, PNG, PSD, or AI files. When able, please also provide raw data for charts and tables.











Launched in 2019, Summit Journal is a free, open access trade journal and serves as the official publication of AFIRE, the association for international real estate investors focused on commercial property in the United States.

Readers stand at the intersection of real estate, institutional investing, data science, and economics.

Published multiple times per year in digital and print formats, Summit features articles from AFIRE members and guest experts. It is a core part of the association's mission to provide an essential forum for real estate investment thought leadership through research and analysis of real estate capital markets, cross-border issues, policy, demographics, technology trends, and management topics.

ISSN 2689-6257 (Print) | ISSN 2689-6257 (Online)

POLICIES

ACCESS AND SUBSCRIPTIONS

Printed issues of Summit are available at no cost to all AFIRE member organizations. Printed issues or legal advice through this of Summit may be made available non-member organizations, individuals, and universities upon request. The latest digital issue and web-based contents of current and past issues of Summit are available and accessible to members and non-members at afire.org/summit. Archived issues can be found at afire. org/summit/summitarchive.

COPYRIGHTS

Summit assumes that all submissions are original works and owned by the author. Authors will be sent a copyright agreement for Summit's nonexclusive rights to the submitted material. The agreement allows Summit to use the material freely in all media, with attribution, but does not restrict the right of the author(s) to use the material elsewhere.

DISCLAIMER

The publisher of Summit is not engaged in providing tax, accounting, publication. No content published in Summit is to be construed as a recommendation to buy or sell any asset. Some information included in Summit has been obtained from thirdparty sources considered to be reliable, though the publisher is not responsible for guaranteeing the accuracy of third-party information. The opinions expressed in Summit are those of its respective contributors and sources and do not necessarily reflect those of the publisher.

NO ADVERTISING

OR SELF PROMOTION

Summit does not accept paid advertisements or self-promoting content. Authors must limit selfreferential language and avoid promotional references to platforms, tools, or business entities. Firstperson (I/we/us) should be used sparingly, if at all.

CITATIONS

All data and quotations, when sourced from third-party websites or resources, must include must an accompanying citation. Citations are printed as endnotes for each article.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS

All articles published by Summit are covered by the AFIRE disclaimer statement. The editors reserve the right to remove any proprietary disclosure and disclaimer statements.

HEADLINES AND DESIGN

The editors reserve full creative control over all headlines, subheadlines, pull quotes, editorial ephemera, and final article design.





SUMMIT JOURNAL FALL 2021

AFIRE



To learn more about supporting Summit Journal and thought leadership at the forefront of commercial real estate, visit afire.org/summit